# The effect of luteal phase gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist administration on IVF outcomes in women at risk of OHSS

Maryam Eftekhar<sup>1</sup> M.D., Sepideh Miraj<sup>1, 2</sup> M.D., Zahrasadat Mortazavifar<sup>1</sup> B.Sc.

- 1. Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, School of Medicine, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.
- 2. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran.

#### Corresponding Author:

Sepideh Miraj, Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Bouali Ave., Safaeyeh, Yazd, Iran P.O. Box: 89195999 Email: dr.s.miraj@gmail.com Tel: (+98) 9126509952

Received: 7 September 2015 Revised: 7 May 2016 Accepted: 18 June 2016

#### Abstract

**Background:** Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) plays essential roles in embryo implantation, invasion of trophoblastic tissue, and steroid synthesis in the placenta.

**Objective:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of GnRH antagonist administration on pregnancy outcomes in early implantation period.

**Materials and Methods:** In this retrospective study, 94 infertile women undergoing GnRH antagonist protocol who were at risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) were included. Sixty-seven patients (group I) received Cetrorelix 0.25 mg/daily in the luteal phase for 3 days while in 27 participants (group II), it was not administered. Pregnancy outcomes were assessed based on chemical and clinical pregnancy rates.

**Results:** The pregnancy outcomes were not significantly different between two groups (p=0.224).

**Conclusion:** The present study proposed that luteal phase GnRH antagonist administration does not influence the chance of successful pregnancy outcomes.

Key words: Gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist, Pregnancy outcome, In vitro fertilization.

## Introduction

emale genital tissues like ovary, endometrium, and placenta are extra pituitary tissues which express Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) receptors (1). GnRH plays essential roles in embryo implantation, invasion of trophoblastic tissue, and steroid synthesis in the placenta (2). There are limited evidences of GnRH antagonists' effects on pregnancy outcomes. Several protocols such as GnRH antagonist protocol were used for in vitro fertilization (IVF) (3, 4). Previous studies evaluated the role of GnRH antagonist in women with poor response to ovulation stimulation. Some studies were demonstrated GnRH antagonist is comparable with GnRH agonist (5, 6). The most important GnRH antagonist benefits are including: decrease the need of exogenous gonadotropin, shorter time for stimulation, and a cost effective protocol (3, 4, 7-9).

Also GnRH antagonist causes the regression of established severe ovarian hyper stimulation syndrome (OHSS) by luteolysis as a key mechanism in prevention

of OHSS (2). After introduction of GnRH antagonist into clinical practice, it reduced OHSS rate in IVF/ICSI cycles (9). GnRH antagonist can improve the poor response to ovulation stimulation (6, 10, 11). Although many studies showed the benefits of GnRH antagonist on IVF/ICSI cycle outcomes but its effect is controversial. The use of GnRH antagonists is generally limited to the last few days of ovulation in IVF/ICSI cycles.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the GnRH antagonist effects at pharmacological doses given in early implantation period on pregnancy outcomes.

#### Materials and methods

In this retrospective study, medical records of 94 women that were at risk of OHSS in IVF/ICSI cycle and has been reffered to the Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Yazd, Iran between October 2014 and February 2015 were reviewed. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Yazd, Iran and oral consent was obtained from all participants.

Inclusion criteria were women under 40 years old, having more than 20 follicles (>14 mm) at triggering time, and on risk of OHSS during ICSI-IVF cycle with embryo transfer. Women with history of endometriosis, history of more than 2 implantation failure, and severe male factor were excluded.

Totally, 94 eligible women were studied in two groups. All participants were treated with GnRH antagonist protocol. Patients received recombinant human follicle stimulating hormone (Gonal-F) (150 IU, subcutaneously) for 5 days. Serial trans-vaginal sonography was performed. When the mature follicle ( $\geq$ 14 mm) was detected, GnRH antagonist (Cetrotide) (0.25 mg/daily, subcutaneously) was injected. Triggering was started with 1500 IU hCG (Pregnyl, Organon, Netherland) and 0.2 mg GnRH-a (Decapeptyl<sup>®</sup>, 0.1 mg) (subcutaneously) injection when at least two follicles with a mean diameter of 17 mm was observed.

Trans-vaginal egg retrieval was done under sedation after 36 hrs. 67 women received 25 mg Cetrotide subcutaneously for 3 days from day of oocyte retrieval (case group) and 27 participants did not receive Cetrotide in luteal phase (control group). 2 embryos were transferred 48 hr after oocyte retrieval using transfer Labotect embryo catheter an (Labotect Gmbh. Lbabor-Technik-Göttingen GmbH, Gottingen, Germany) In all patients. All transferred embryos were in grade A and B. Progesterone suppositories (Cyclogest®) 400 mg twice in a day was used vaginally on the day of oocyte collection for luteal phase support, and it continued until the fetal heart

Table I. The basic characteristics of patients in two groups

activity was documented by ultrasonography. Serum beta-hCG ( $\beta$ -hCG) was assessed on day 14 after embryo transfer.

Positive pregnancy test was define as  $\beta$ -hCG >50 IU/L. Pregnancy outcomes were assessed based on clinical pregnancy (observation of fetal heart activity by transvaginal ultrasonography 2-3 wks after positive  $\beta$ -hCG). Implantation rate was defined as the ratio of gestational sacs to the number of embryos transferred.

#### Statistical analysis

All of statistical analysis was done by SPSS 20 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). The normal distribution of data was checked. Mean±SD were calculated for descriptive analysis. Independent t-test and  $\chi^2$  were used. The statistical significances considered as 0.05. According with power analysis the power of study was 0.8 and  $\alpha$  was 0.05.

#### Results

The mean age of participants was 28.56±4.03 yrs in case and 28.03±4.8 yrs in control group. Basic characteristics of participants in groups are shown in table I. There were not statistically differences in age, duration of infertility, basal FSH serum, progesterone and estradiol level in the day of HCG triggering between groups. While the mean number of embryo was different (Table The pregnancy outcome was I). not significantly different between case and control group (p=0.224). The implantation rate was 14.39% in case group and 9.25% in controls (p=0.089) (Table II).

| Variables                     | Case group (n=67)    | Control group (n=27) | p-value* |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Age (year)                    | 28.56 ± 4.03         | $28.03 \pm 4.8$      | 0.593    |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> day FSH level | $5.76 \pm 2.73$      | $5.71 \pm 3.42$      | 0.942    |
| Infertility duration (year)   | $6.73 \pm 3.85$      | $6.66 \pm 4.49$      | 0.942    |
| Serum progesterone** (ng/ml)  | $1.09 \pm 0.55$      | $1.11 \pm 0.55$      | 0.924    |
| Serum estradiol** (pg/mL)     | $3337.07 \pm 514.44$ | $3301.63 \pm 459.04$ | 0.756    |

Data are presented as mean±SD. \*Independent Students' *t*-Test

\*\* in the day of HCG triggering

| Table II.  | ART    | outcomes | in  | two  | studied | groups |  |
|------------|--------|----------|-----|------|---------|--------|--|
| I able II. | 1 11 1 | outcomes | 111 | 1110 | studied | Sidups |  |

|                                      | Case group (n=67)              | Control group (n=27) | p-value*       |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Number of oocyte <sup>a</sup>        | $19.86 \pm 4.94$               | $18.51 \pm 2.84$     | 0.023          |
| Number of embryo <sup>a</sup>        | $6.31 \pm 5.21$                | $5.22 \pm 4.06$      | 0.332          |
| Clinical pregnancy rate <sup>b</sup> | 19 (28.78%)                    | 5 (18.51%)           | 0.224          |
| Implantation rate                    | 14.39%                         | 9.25%                | 0.089          |
| : Data are presented as mean±SD.     | b: Data are presented as n(%). |                      | $*\chi^2$ test |

## Discussion

Our results showed that luteal phase GnRH antagonist administration did not influence the chance of pregnancy. The clinical pregnancy rate in studied groups was not significantly different. Triggering of final oocyte maturation by hCG induces massive luteinization, increase angiogenic factors secretion (such as angiotensin 11. interleukins. vascular endothelial growth factor, histamine, prolactin, prostaglandins, endothelin-1, and selectins) from corpus luteums of hyperstimulated ovaries. It leads to development of OHSS by increase in vascular permeability, and finally fluid shift to the third space (12-15).

Previous studies reported that GnRH antagonist administration in the luteal phase improved severe OHSS in two days after injection of GnRH antagonist by decreasing the ovarian volume, hematocrit, ascites, and oestradiol and progesterone concentrations (3, 4, 8). It suggests a luteolytic effect of the GnRH antagonist that lead to a decrease of ovarian activity and angiogenic factors secretion, resulting in regression of severe OHSS (4, 8). "GnRH antagonist inhibits Matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) and therefore can disrupt the implantation. GnRH antagonist effect on the expression of HOXA10 genes in endometrium which is an important regulator of endometrial receptivity" (16).

GnRH antagonist administration during peri-implantation period may cause some concern about the potential adverse effects of GnRH antagonist on embryo implantation, pregnancy and neonatal outcomes (11). Our findings showed that pregnancy rate is similar between two studied groups. There are few studies about the effect of luteal phase GnRH administration on antagonist pregnancy outcomes (8, 11, 12). Lainas et al in a prospective cohort study on 192 IVF patients who were at risk of OHSS showed that pregnancy and neonatal outcomes did not decrease after luteal GnRH antagonist administration (1). Some recent studies that GnRh documented antagonist administration is not associated with pregnancy or congenital adverse effects (1-4, 8-11, 17-20).

As our study limitations, OHSS evolution, neonate and children outcomes were not studied. Also the follow up period was very short.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, luteal phase GnRH antagonist administration does not influence the chance of pregnancy after ART. The incidence of chemical and clinical pregnancy in groups was not significantly different.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Yazd Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there were no conflicts of interests regarding the publication of this article.

#### References

- Lainas GT, Kolibianakis EM, Sfontouris IA, Zorzovilis IZ, Petsas GK, Tarlatzi TB, et al. Outpatient management of severe early OHSS by administration of GnRH antagonist in the luteal phase: an observational cohort study. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2012; 10: 69-71.
- 2. Lainas G, Kolibianakis E, Sfontouris I, Zorzovilis I, Petsas G, Lainas T, et al. Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes following luteal GnRH antagonist administration in patients with severe early OHSS. *Hum Reprod* 2013; 28: 1929-1942.
- 3. Lainas T, Sfontouris I, Zorzovilis I, Petsas G, Lainas G, Kolibianakis E. Management of severe early ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome by re-initiation of GnRH antagonist. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2007; 15: 408-412.
- 4. Lainas TG, Sfontouris I, Zorzovilis I, Petsas G, Lainas G, Iliadis G, et al. Management of severe OHSS using GnRH antagonist and blastocyst cryopreservation in PCOS patients treated with long protocol. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2009; 18: 15-20.
- 5. Al-Inany H, Aboulghar M. GnRH antagonist in assisted reproduction: a Cochrane review. *Hum Reprod* 2002; 17: 874-885.
- Engmann L, DiLuigi A, Schmidt D, Nulsen J, Maier D, Benadiva C. The use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist to induce oocyte maturation after cotreatment with GnRH antagonist in high-risk patients undergoing in vitro fertilization prevents the risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: a prospective randomized controlled study. *Fertil Steril* 2008; 89: 84-91.
- Klemmt PAB, Liu F, Carver JG, Jones C, Brosi D, Adamson J, et al. Effects of gonadotrophin releasing hormone analogues on human endometrial stromal cells and embryo invasion in vitro. *Hum Reprod* 2009; 24: 2187-2192.
- 8. Lainas TG, Sfontouris I, Zorzovilis I, Petsas G, Lainas G, Alexopoulou E, et al. Live births after

management of severe OHSS by GnRH antagonist administration in the luteal phase. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2009; 19: 789-795.

- Olivennes F, Alvarez S, Bouchard P, Fanchin R, Salat-Baroux J, Frydman R. The use of a GnRH antagonist (Cetrorelix) in a single dose protocol in IVF-embryo transfer: a dose finding study of 3 versus 2 mg. *Hum Reprod* 1998; 13: 2411-2414.
- 10. Boerrigter PJ, de Bie JJ, Mannaerts BMJL, van Leeuwen BP, Passier-Timmermans DPJ. Obstetrical and neonatal outcome after controlled ovarian stimulation for IVF using the GnRH antagonist ganirelix. *Hum Reprod* 2002; 17: 2027-2034.
- Bosch E, Valencia I, Escudero E, Crespo J, Simón C, Remohí J, et al. Premature luteinization during gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist cycles and its relationship with in vitro fertilization outcome. *Fertil Steril* 2003; 80: 1444-1449.
- 12. Pellicer A, Albert C, Mercader A, Bonilla-Musoles F, Remohí J, Simón C. The pathogenesis of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: in vivo studies investigating the role of interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, and vascular endothelial growth factor. *Fertil Steril* 1999; 71: 482-489.
- Gómez R, Soares SR, Busso C, Garcia-Velasco JA, Simón C, Pellicer A, editors. Physiology and pathology of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. *Semin Reprod Med* 2010; 28: 448-457.
- 14. Rizk B, Aboulghar M, Smitz J, Ron-El R. The role of vascular endothelial growth factor and interleukins in the pathogenesis of severe ovarian hyperstimulation

syndrome. Hum Reprod Update 1997; 3: 255-266.

- 15. Soares SR, Gómez R, Simón C, García-Velasco JA, Pellicer A. Targeting the vascular endothelial growth factor system to prevent ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. *Hum Reprod Update* 2008; 14: 321-333.
- Eftekhar M, Firouzabadi RD, Karimi H, Rahmani E. Outcome of cryopreserved-thawed embryo transfer in the GnRH agonist versus antagonist protocol. *Iran J Reprod Med* 2012; 10: 297.
- 17. Bonduelle M, Oberyé J, Mannaerts B, Devroey P. Large prospective, pregnancy and infant follow-up trial assures the health of 1000 fetuses conceived after treatment with the GnRH antagonist ganirelix during controlled ovarian stimulation. *Hum Reprod* 2010; 25: 1433-1440.
- Bonilla-Musoles F, Raga F, Castillo J, Sanz M, Dolz M, Osborne N. High doses of GnRH antagonists are efficient in the management of severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol* 2008; 36: 78-81.
- Siler-Khodr T, Khodr G, Rhode J, Vickery B, Nestor J. Gestational age-related inhibition of placental hCG, αchCG and steroid hormone release in vitro by a GnRH antagonist. *Placenta* 1987; 8: 1-14.
- 20. Ubaldi F, Camus M, Smitz J, Bennink HC, Van Steirteghem A, Devroey P. Premature luteinization in in vitro fertilization cycles using gonadotropinreleasing hormone agonist (GnRH-a) and recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and GnRH-a and urinary FSH. *Fertil Steril* 1996; 66: 275-280.