



Original Article

Factors influencing low sexual desire and sexual distress in pregnancy: A cross-sectional study

Corresponding Author:

Afsaneh Keramat; Department of Reproductive Health and Midwifery, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Haft-e-Tir Sq., Shahroud, Iran.

Postal Code: 7394736147 Tel: (+98) 2332393962 Email:

afsanehkeramat@yahoo.com

ORCID:

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8728-7790

Received 30 June 2020 Revised 22 October 2020 Accepted 24 January 2021

Production and Hosting by Knowledge E

Malary et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Editor-in-Chief:
Aflatoonian Abbas M.D.

Mina Malary¹ M.Sc., Mahmood Moosazadeh^{2, 3} Ph.D., Afsaneh Keramat⁴ Ph.D., Shadi Sabetghadam¹ M.Sc.

¹Student Research Committee, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran.

²Health Sciences Research Center, Faculty of Health, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran.

³Gastrointestinal Cancer Research Center, Non-communicable Diseases Institute, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran.

⁴Department of Reproductive Health and Midwifery, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran.

Abstract

Background: Sexual desire and sexual distress are determined by emotional, psychosocial, hormonal, and anatomical factors during pregnancy.

Objective: To identify the factors contributing to female low sexual desire and sexual distress during pregnancy separately and concurrently.

Materials and Methods: Overall, 295 pregnant women were enrolled in this cross-sectional study. Sexual desire and distress were assessed by the sexual interest and desire inventory-female (score \leq 33.0 indicates low sexual desire) and the female sexual distress scale-revised (score \geq 11 indicates sexual distress).

Results: 56.3% and 17.3% of pregnant women met the clinical cut-off for low sexual desire and sexual distress, respectively. After adjusting for the effect of the confounding variables by logistic regression multivariate analysis, satisfaction with body image before and during pregnancy, frequency of sexual intercourse, and satisfaction with foreplay were found to be significantly associated with low sexual desire. Factors related to sexual distress were similar to those noted for common sexual desire, except for satisfaction with foreplay. Other factors related to sexual distress included increased age, fear of abortion, and pregnancy trimester. Factors linked to concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress were similar to those found for sexual distress, except for pregnancy trimester.

Conclusion: Low sexual desire and sexual distress are relatively common sexual experiences during pregnancy. Several factors could predict low sexual desire but were not associated with sexual distress, and conversely. Comprehensive attention to all of these factors is essential while screening for sexual health during pregnancy.

Key words: Pregnancy, Sexual desire, Sexual distress, Sexual dysfunctions, Influencing factors.

□ OPEN ACCESS

1. Introduction

Pregnancy is considered the most critical period in a woman's life (1). It induces significant physical, mental, and sexual changes in the female body (2). Physical and psychological changes, as well as cultural, social, and religious factors can influence sexual activity in pregnancy (3).

Reduced sexual enjoyment, coital frequency, desire, and decreased sexual activity commonly occur during pregnancy. Many factors can influence sexual desire during pregnancy, including living conditions, pregnancy trimester, and physiological alterations (4). Sexual desire is an essential component of romantic relationships, and subsequent sexual activity is an opportunity to establish and increase intimacy between couples (5). Low sexual desire can undermine sexual intimacy or receptivity and may lead to reduced coital frequency. By depriving couples of the various advantages of intercourse, including intimacy and pleasure, low sexual desire threatens the romantic bond and can induce conflict, unfaithfulness, or relationship breakdown (5, 6).

Sexual distress is the negative emotions of individuals regarding their sex life and comprises feelings of embarrassment, blame, frustration, anxiety, fear, and anger (7). The presence of significant sexual distress is a key criterion in the diagnostic process of sexual dysfunction and should always be addressed while assessing sexual function (8). European epidemiological studies have demonstrated that 46-65% of women with sexual problems suffer from sexual distress (9, 10), indicating that impairments in sexual function are associated with sexual distress in some women (but not all) (11). Concern about the impact of sexual activity on the fetus and low sexual desire can instigate or promote sexual distress. There have been few studies on sexual distress during pregnancy. The incidence of sexual disorders and

concomitant sexual distress is different in various female populations. For instance, 40% of Canadian and American pregnant women were found to experience sexual distress, and 36% of them suffered from sexual problems. More specifically, 14% of the American patients suffered from sexual distress in the absence of sexual problems, 14% experienced sexual problems in the absence of sexual distress, and 26% were diagnosed with sexual problems with concomitant sexual distress (12).

Unfortunately, despite the extensive consequences of low sexual desire and sexual distress during pregnancy, it is not always addressed during routine prenatal care. Questions about sexual activity in different trimesters of pregnancy still cause embarrassment in many women and healthcare professionals. Thus, many questions in this context remain unanswered. No study has yet been conducted in Iran to identify the factors associated with low sexual desire and sexual distress among pregnant women. Therefore, we sought to investigate the factors causing common sexual desire and sexual distress in pregnant women separately and concurrently. It is hoped that raising awareness regarding this issue among pregnant women and healthcare professionals can improve screening and early detection of these problems in the early stages of pregnancy.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study population and design

This cross-sectional study was performed with 295 pregnant women between September 2019 and January 2020 according to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines in Amol, north of Iran (13).

The participants were selected using the two-stage cluster sampling method. In the first stage, four out of 18 health centers were selected randomly from the north, south, east, and west of Amol city. Afterward, the participants were chosen systematically from each center based on the probability of selection in proportion to the population size (or estimated population size). Those meeting the inclusion criteria were invited to participate in the study.

The inclusion criteria included singleton pregnancy, lack of any diseases, living with the partner at the time of participation, and willingness to participate. The exclusion criteria were psychological or psychiatric comorbidities, any medical illness, contraindication for sexual intercourse, and conceived via assisted reproductive techniques.

2.2. Outcome measurement

Data were gathered using three instruments: a related factors checklist, the sexual interest and desire inventory-female (SIDI-F), and the female sexual distress scale-revised (FSD-R).

The related factors checklist included items demographic characteristics, obstetrics history, and sexual experience. Demographic characteristics included maternal age, duration of the marriage, level of education, occupation, and satisfaction with income. The obstetrics history section recorded parity, history of abortion and complications in a previous pregnancy, pregnancy trimester, and fear of abortion due to sexual activity in current pregnancy. In the sexual experience section, the following questions were asked: Are you satisfied with foreplay before sexual activity? Is your sexual activity scheduled? How many times have you had sexual intercourse in the previous month? To evaluate

the body image (BI) satisfaction, participants were asked to provide their opinion as to how physically attractive they were before and during pregnancy using a 3-point Likert scale ranging from not very attractive (1) to very attractive (3).

In this study, the validated Farsi version of the SIDI-F was employed to evaluate female sexual desire in the previous month (14). The SIDI-F is a 13-item questionnaire rated by a clinician. Items are ranked based on a 5-point scale ranging from 0 to 5, with higher scores indicating greater levels of sexual desire. The minimum and maximum possible scores for this questionnaire are 0 and 51, respectively. The SIDI-F had a Cronbach's alpha of 0.90 and excellent internal consistency. The convergent validity of this instrument was established by correlating its results with those of other valid sexual function instruments. We found that the total score of the SIDI-F was highly associated with the satisfaction, arousal, and desire domains of the FSFI (all correlations > 0.8) (15). The internal consistency reliability of the Farsi version was 0.89, indicating excellent reliability. In addition, test-retest reliability with a 2-wk interval revealed good reliability of this tool (14).

The FSD-R is a self-report instrument used to examine sexual distress during the past month. Each item is rated from never (0) to always (4), with scores ranging from 0 to 52. Women with a score of at least 11 were classified as having sexual distress. This questionnaire was shown to have high internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.96) and validity (92.7%) (7). The internal consistency and reliability of the Farsi version of FSD-R were calculated to be > 0.70 by Azimi Nekoo and colleagues (16). Therefore, it is considered a valid and reliable tool for assessing sexual distress among Iranian women.

2.3. Sample size calculation

In a study of pregnant women aged 18-40 yr, the mean and standard deviation (SD) of sexual desire as evaluated by the female sexual function index (FSFI) was 1.67 (SD = 1.67) (17). With an estimated accuracy of 20% (d = 0.2) at a two-tailed 5% significance difference (α) (Z = 1.96), the standard sample size was computed to be 267 using the following formula. Considering a 15% attrition rate, the final sample size was calculated at 314.

$$n = \frac{z^2 \sigma^2}{d^2}$$

2.4. Ethical considerations

The necessary scientific approvals were obtained from the Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, and ethical approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of Shahroud University of Medical Sciences (Code: IR.SHMU.REC.1397.098). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Prior to performing the study, the eligible women were informed about the study objectives and assured of the confidentiality of the data.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The collected data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 22.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Descriptive statistics are presented for the demographic characteristics, obstetrics history, and sexual experience. First, the association of low sexual desire, sexual distress, and concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress with categorical variables was tested using the Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test. Then, multivariable logistic regression models were used to identify the factors associated with low sexual desire, sexual distress,

and concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress. To adjust for the effect of confounding variables, all factors associated with each outcome (i.e., low sexual desire, sexual distress, and concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress) based on the Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test results at a significance level of < 0.05 were included in the multivariable regression model. The strength of the associations between the dependent and independent variables was determined by odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

A total of 314 questionnaires were sent to four centers, and 307 were returned. In total, 12 were excluded due to being incomplete (7) and based on the exclusion criteria (5). The final sample consisted of 295 pregnant women aged 18-40 yr. Based on the SIDI-F cut-off score, 166 (56.3%) women were at risk for low sexual desire (29.2 \pm 10.3). The mean FSD-R score of this cohort (mean \pm SD) was 5.55 \pm 6.56, with 51 pregnant women (17.3%) attaining scores greater than the clinical cut-off score of 11. Among the 166 participants who were found to be at risk for low sexual desire by SIDI-F, 42 (25.3%) scored 11 or higher on FSD-R. In other words, among the 259 participants, 42 (14.2%) were found to obtain a score of 33 or lower on SIDI-F and 11 or higher on FSD-R.

The participants' characteristics and correlations with low sexual desire, sexual distress, and concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress are shown in Table I. The significant independent variables and the outcomes presented in Table I were entered into a multivariate logistic regression analysis, the findings of which are shown in Table II.

The factors associated with a lower likelihood of low sexual desire were satisfaction with foreplay, high satisfaction with BI before and during pregnancy, and increased coital frequency in the past month.

The factors associated with lower levels of sexual distress were high satisfaction with BI before and during pregnancy, three to four occurrences of sexual intercourse in the past month, and not being afraid of fetal abortion. In contrast, women aged > 30 yr were at greater risk for sexual distress

during pregnancy. The pregnancy trimester was associated with sexual distress as well, such that the second trimester was associated with lower levels of sexual distress than the first trimester.

Finally, high satisfaction with BI before and during pregnancy, three to four occurrences of sexual intercourse in the past month, and not being afraid of fetal abortion were protective factors against concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress. In contrast, increased maternal age was a risk factor.

Table I. Baseline characteristics and correlation with low sexual desire, sexual distress, and concurrent low sexual desire and distress

Characteristics of	Low sexual desire			Sexual distress			Concurrent low sexual desire and distress			
participants (n = 295)	No	Yes	p-value	No	Yes	p-value	No	Yes	p-value	
Age (yr)										
< 25	51 (17.3)	52 (17.6)	0.34	93 (31.5)	10 (3.4)	0.001	94 (31.9)	9 (3.1)	0.04	
25-30	37 (12.5)	54 (18.3)		79 (26.8)	12 (4.1)		79 (26.8)	12 (4.1)		
> 30	41 (13.9)	60 (20.3)		72 (24.4)	29 (9.8)		80 (27.1)	21 (7.21)		
Duration of marriage (yr)										
0-5	71 (24.1)	84 (28.5)	0.44	135 (45.8)	20 (6.8)	0.03	137 (46.4)	18 (6.1)	0.17	
> 5	58 (19.7)	82 (27.8)	0.11	109 (36.9)	31 (10.5)		116 (39.3)	24 (8.1)		
Women's education										
Primary/secondary school	10 (3.4)	21 (7.1)		25 (8.5)	6 (2.0)	0.93	25 (8.5)	6 (2.0)	0.68	
High school	67 (22.7)	82 (27.8)	0.39	123 (41.7)	26 (8.8)		129 (43.7)	20 (6.8)		
Undergraduate/ postgraduate	52 (17.6)	63 (21.4)		96 (32.5)	19 (6.4)		99 (33.6)	16 (5.4)		
Women's occupation										
Working	19 (6.4)	25 (8.5)	0.93	38 (12.9)	6 (2.0)	0.48	38 (12.9)	6 (2.0)	0.90	
Housewife	110 (37.3)	141 (47.8)	0.93	206 (69.8)	45 (15.3)		215 (72.9)	36 (12.2)		
Satisfaction with inco	Satisfaction with income									
Yes	102 (34.6)	120 (40.7)	0.18	191 (64.7)	31 (10.3)	0.008	194 (65.8)	28 (9.5)	0.16	
No	27 (9.2)	46 (15.7)		53 (18.1)	20 (6.8)		59 (20.1)	14 (4.8)		
Parity										
Primiparous	62 (21.0)	77 (26.1)	0.77	118 (40.0)	21 (7.1)	0.35	120 (40.7)	19 (6.4)	0.79	
Multiparous	67 (22.7)	89 (30.2)	0.77	126 (42.7)	30 (10.2)		133 (45.1)	23 (7.8)		

Table I. Continued

Characteristics of participants	Low sexual desire		Sexual distress			Concurrent low sexual desire and distress				
(n = 295)	No	Yes	p-value	No	Yes	p-value	No	Yes	p-value	
History of abortion										
Yes	27 (9.2)	38 (12.9)	0.68	52 (17.6)	13 (4.4)	0.51	54 (18.3)	11 (3.7)	0.48	
No	102 (34.6)	128 (43.4)	0.68	192 (65.1)	38 (12.9)		199 (67.5)	31 (10.5)		
Number of children										
No children	72 (24.4)	95 (32.2)	0.74	143 (48.5)	24 (8.1)		145 (49.2)	22 (7.5)	0.42	
1	41 (13.9)	55 (18.6)		72 (24.4)	24 (8.1)	0.04	79 (26.8)	17 (5.8)		
≥ 2	16 (5.4)	16 (5.4)		29 (9.8)	3 (1.0)		29 (9.8)	3 (1.0)		
Trimester										
First	18 (6.1)	27 (9.2)		32 (10.8)	13 (4.4)		36 (12.2)	9 (3.1)		
Second	62 (21.0)	77 (26.1)	0.85	123 (41.7)	16 (5.4)	0.019	126 (42.7)	13 (4.4)	0.07	
Third	49 (16.6)	62 (21.0)		89 (30.2)	22 (7.5)		91 (30.8)	20 (6.8)		
The complication in a previous pregnancy										
Yes	16 (5.4)	16 (5.4)	0.44	20 (6.8)	12 (4.1)	0.001	25 (8.5)	7 (2.4)	0.19	
No	135 (38.3)	150 (50.8)	0.44	228 (75.9)	39 (13.2)		228 (77.3)	35 (11.9)		
Fear of fetal abortion due to sexual activity										
Yes	57 (19.3)	88 (29.8)		104 (35.3)	41 (13.9)	≤ 0.001	113 (38.3)	32 (10.8)	≤ 0.001	
No	72 (24.4)	78 (26.4)	0.13	140 (47.5)	10 (3.4)		140 (47.5)	10 (3.4)		
Planning for sexual a	ctivity									
Yes	43 (14.6)	85 (28.8)	0.000	100 (33.9)	28 (9.5)	0.06	104 (35.3)	24 (8.1)	0.06	
No	86 (29.2)	81 (27.5)	0.002	144 (48.8)	23 (7.8)		149 (50.5)	18 (6.1)		
Satisfaction with fore	eplay*									
Yes	3 (1.0)	25 (8.5)		22 (7.5)	6 (2.0)		24 (8.1)	4 (1.4)		
No	126 (42.7)	141 (47.8)	≤ 0.001	222 (75.3)	45 (15.3)	0.58	229 (77.6)	38 (12.9)	0.59	
Satisfaction with bod	ly image bet	fore pregna	ncy							
Low	11 (3.7)	26 (8.8)		26 (8.8)	11 (3.7)		29 (9.8)	8 (2.7)		
Moderate	82 (27.8)	125 (42.4)	≤ 0.001	170 (57.6)	37 (12.5)	0.01	175 (59.3)	32 (10.8)	0.04	
High	36 (12.2)	15 (5.1)		48 (16.3)	3 (1.0)		49 (16.6)	2 (0.7)		
Satisfaction with bod	ly image du	ring pregna	ncy							
Low	36 (12.2)	59 (20.0)		70 (23.7)	25 (8.5)		75 (25.4)	20 (6.8)		
Moderate	73 (24.7)	98 (33.2)	0.01	146 (49.5)	25 (8.5)	0.006	150 (50.8)	21 (7.1)	0.03	
High	20 (6.8)	9 (3.1)		28 (9.5)	1 (0.3)		28 (9.5)	1 (0.3)		
N. sexual intercourse	s in the pas									
Never	2 (0.7)	41 (13.9)		30 (10.2)	13 (4.4)	0.001	32 (10.8)	11 (3.7)	0.001	
1-2 times a month	33 (11.2)	63 (21.4)		73 (24.7)	23 (7.8)		75 (25.4)	21 (7.1)		
3-4 times a month	52 (17.6)	50 (16.9)	≤ 0.001	96 (32.5)	6 (2.0)		96 (32.5)	6 (2.0)		
More than once a wk	42 (12.2)	12 (4.4)		45 (15.3)	9 (3.1)		50 (16.9)	4 (1.4)		

Data presented as n (%). Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests. *Fisher's exact test was used for this variable

Table II. Logistic regression for predictors of low sexual desire, sexual distress, and concurrent low sexual desire and distress

	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*							
Independent variable	Low sexual desire		Sexual dis	tress	Concurrent low sexual desire and			
(n = 295)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	n valua	distre OR (95% CI)			
Age (yr)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value		
< 25	INI		- 1.0 (ref.)		- 1.0 (ref.)			
25-30	-		2.1 (0.6-6.9) 0.20		1.6 (0.57-4.56) 0.36			
> 30	_		11.7 (3.3-41.1)	≤ 0.001	8.7 (3.2-23.68)	≤ 0.001		
Duration of marriage, yr	- NI		-	-		NI		
0-5	-		1.0 (ref	F.)	-			
> 5			0.75 (0.22-2.5) 0.63		-			
Satisfaction with income	NI		-		NI			
Yes	-		1.0 (ref.)		-			
No	-		0.80 (0.32-2.01)	0.66	-			
Number of children	NI		-		NI			
No children	-		1.0 (ref.)		-			
1	-		1.6 (0.51-5.2)	0.39	-			
≥ 2	-		0.29 (0.04-1.9)	0.21	-			
Trimester	NI		-		NI			
First	-		1.0 (ref.)		-			
Second	-		0.18 (0.05-0.58)	0.004	-			
Third	-		0.31 (0.11-1.90) 0.07		-			
The complication in a previous pregnancy	NI		-		NI			
Yes	-		1.0 (ref.)					
No	-		0.65 (0.19-2.2) 0.49		-			
Fear of fetal abortion due to sexual activity	NI		-		-			
Yes	-		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)			
No	-		0.11 (0.04-0.32)	≤ 0.001	0.11 (0.04-0.27)	≤ 0.001		
Planning for sexual activity	-		NI		NI			
No	1.0 (ref.)		-		-			
Yes	0.68 (0.37-1.2) 0.24		-		-			
Satisfaction with foreplay	-		NI		NI			
No	1.0 (ref.)		-		-			
Yes	0.17 (0.04-0.6) 0.01		-		-			
Satisfaction with body image before pregnancy			-		-			
Low	1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)			
Moderate	0.56 (0.22-1.4)	0.27	0.53 (0.14-1.99)	0.35	0.59 (0.18-1.8)	0.37		
High	0.22 (0.07-0.7)	0.01	0.11 (0.01-0.73)	0.02	0.14 (0.02-0.74)	0.02		

Table II. Continued

	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*								
Independent variable (n = 295)	Low sexual desire		Sexual dist	ress	Concurrent low sexual desire and distress				
	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value			
Satisfaction with body image during pregnancy	-		-		-				
Low	1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)				
Moderate	1.1 (0.57-2.2)	0.70	0.43 (0.18-1.02)	0.05	0.64 (0.28-1.42)	0.27			
High	0.19 (0.05-0.6)	0.01	0.05 (0.004-0.71)	0.02	0.09 (0.009-0.95)	0.04			
N. sexual intercourses in the past month	-		-		•				
Never	1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)				
1-2 times a month	0.07 (0.01-0.32)	0.001	0.92 (0.31-2.7)	0.88	0.98 (0.36-2.61)	0.96			
3-4 times a month	0.03 (0.007-0.16)	≤ 0.001	0.16 (0.04-0.60)	0.007	0.19 (0.06-0.65)	0.008			
More than once a weak	0.01 (0.003-0.06)	≤ 0.001	0.81 (0.21-3.08)	0.76	0.75 (0.22-2.45)	0.63			

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used for this table. *Adjusted for all other variables in the table unless indicated as NI. CI: Confidence interval, OR: Odds ratio, NI: Not included in the model

4. Discussion

The results showed that 56.3% and 17.3% of pregnant women experienced low sexual desire and sexual distress during pregnancy, respectively. Of the women with low sexual desire (n = 166), 42 (25.3%) suffered from sexual distress. More than half of the pregnant women who had low sexual desire did not suffer from sexual distress (74.7%). Similarly, it was reported that 70% of pregnant women were not concerned about decreased sexual desire during their pregnancy (17). In our study, sexual distress was not observed in most women with low sexual desire during pregnancy. The rate of sexual distress in this study was lower than those found in Canadian and American population-based studies of pregnant women, which reported that 40% of women suffered from sexual distress during pregnancy (12). It seems that the difference in sociodemographic and cultural characteristics, methods of assessment, and the difference in the cut-off points used for determining low sexual

desire and sexual distress may explain the different findings.

Satisfaction with foreplay was found to have a positive influence on sexual desire in the current study. In a Finnish study of nonpregnant women, the primary sexual complaint of women with a partner was the duration of foreplay. Too short foreplay was strongly associated with all domains of sexual function (such as orgasm and arousal) except for sexual desire (18). Although no association was reported between foreplay and sexual desire in that study, we found a significant link between satisfaction with foreplay and sexual desire. The effect of this factor on sexual desire seems to be crucial during pregnancy because of the unique characteristics of this period.

The present study demonstrated that a negative perception of physical attractiveness was associated with low sexual desire, sexual distress, and concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress. The impact of BI on sexual function and sexual distress has been documented in previous research. Lo and colleagues reported that the self-perception of unattractiveness was

associated with both sexual problems and distress in young nonpregnant women (19). In addition, the association between sexual function and BI in nonpregnant women was corroborated (20, 21). Specifically, previous investigations have shown that body shape satisfaction predicts sexual desire (22, 23). Negative feelings toward others' evaluation of one's body can predict declined sexual desire as well (22). In a population-based study in Iran, it was shown that nonpregnant women who were dissatisfied with their body image were almost four times more likely to develop hypoactive sexual desire disorder (24). Body size and shape are fundamentally changed during pregnancy (25). Therefore, it is common to encounter BI disturbances in this period (26). The negative perception of BI is found to play an important role in sexual dysfunction during pregnancy (27). However, the findings are contradictory in this regard. For example, contrary to this study, in a study with Iranian pregnant women, it was found that sexual function and BI scores were not related (2). This discrepancy could be attributed to different tools being used to measure BI, sexual desire, and distress. Although a standardized instrument was not used in the present study to evaluate BI, this factor appears to play a significant role in sexual desire and sexual distress during pregnancy.

The present study also showed a significant association between coital frequency in the past month and low sexual desire, sexual distress, and concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress, which supports the results of previous studies. In addition, this study demonstrated that fear of harming the fetus due to sexual activity negatively influenced sexual distress and concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress (but not low sexual desire alone). It seems that sexual distress is caused by the fear of miscarriage or harming the fetus. In one study, concern over harming the fetus

was the primary cause of reduced sexual function during pregnancy (4). Likewise, this issue was reported in 66.7% of Tunisian women (28). These misconceptions and beliefs can affect sexual activity during pregnancy. Kong and coauthors studied how obstetric and neonatal outcomes can be affected by sexual intercourse during pregnancy (29). They did not find a significant association between the frequency, experiences, and timing of sexual intercourse and obstetric or neonatal outcomes. Taboos and misconceptions are increased by the lack of communication between healthcare professionals and pregnant women about sexuality. Of note, despite the contraindications for sexual intercourse in high-risk pregnant women such as those with hemorrhage. placenta previa, and preterm premature rupture of membranes, sexual intercourse is encouraged during normal pregnancy.

The present study found that increased age is a significant risk factor for sexual distress and concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress. Although the effect of women's age on low sexual desire and sexual distress has been established in studies of nonpregnant women, its impact in pregnant women has not been studied yet. An Iranian population-based study on reproductive-age women showed that although increased age in women was associated with elevated low sexual desire, it did not lead to sexual distress (30). This discrepancy could be due to the different target groups of the studies (pregnant vs. nonpregnant). One of the possible reasons for a higher prevalence of low sexual desire and sexual distress in women aged > 30 yr could be the increase in obstetric complications, which lead to elevated distress.

Although pregnancy trimester was revealed to be a significant factor contributing to sexual distress in this study, it was not found to be related to low sexual desire or concurrent low sexual desire

and sexual distress. Given the conflicting findings reported in other studies regarding sexual desire, the link between sexual distress and pregnancy trimester remains unknown. In accordance with our present findings on sexual desire in each trimester, it was indicated a significant difference in all sexual function domains (except in the domain of sexual desire) in different trimesters (31). Nevertheless, a longitudinal study reported decreased sexual desire in the first trimester in male and female participants, which slightly improved in the second and third trimesters (6). On the other hand, Fuchs et al. observed higher sexual desire scores in the second trimester than the first and third trimesters (1). This discrepancy may be due to the chosen methods and tools used to assess sexual desire.

The feelings of distress due to sexual issues (e.g., guilt and anxiety) have largely been ignored during pregnancy. In addition, factors associated with sexual pain during pregnancy have not been assessed yet. Nevertheless, this study found that the trimester of pregnancy has a notable influence on sexual distress in women due to the psychological and physiological changes in each trimester. Therefore, healthcare providers must ask pregnant women about their feelings of sexual issues in each trimester.

Although many studies have assessed sexual desire and sexual distress, few have evaluated them during pregnancy. In addition, most studies have used the FSFI to assess sexual desire as a domain of sexual functioning. However, in this study, we measured sexual desire using SIDI-F.

This study had several limitations that need to be considered. First, the data were collected using self-reported questionnaires. However, this might not have affected the results because the questionnaires were completed anonymously with no face-to-face contact. Second, we only assessed pregnant women and ignored their

partners. Additionally, there are other sexual problems such as those associated with arousal, orgasm, and pain problems which can also affect sexual desire and sexual distress but which were not addressed in this study. The lack of information about women's psychological status was another limitation. Finally, tracking changes in sexual function and sexual distress, satisfaction, and mental status was impossible in this study. To compare these alterations, future longitudinal studies could be useful to assess women and men simultaneously until and even after childbirth.

5. Conclusion

According to the current study's findings, low sexual desire and sexual distress are commonly experienced during pregnancy. Some factors, including satisfaction with BI before and during pregnancy, coital frequency, fear of fetal abortion, and increased age were associated with concurrent low sexual desire and sexual distress in pregnant women, while being satisfied with foreplay was only related to low sexual desire and pregnancy trimester was linked with sexual distress. We hope that our findings lead to an increase in early screening of pregnant women's sexual health by healthcare providers, followed by the necessary interventions to resolve sexual problems.

Acknowledgments

We thank all the pregnant women who played a key role in this study. Also, we would like to thank the ethical committee of Shahroud University of Medical Sciences and healthcare center of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences for providing facilities to this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Fuchs A, Czech I, Sikora J, Fuchs P, Lorek M, Skrzypulec-Plinta V, et al. Sexual functioning in pregnant women. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019: 16: 4216–4225.
- [2] Senobari M, Azmoude E, Mousavi M. The relationship between body mass index, body image, and sexual function: A survey on Iranian pregnant women. *Int J Reprod Biomed* 2019; 17: 503–512.
- [3] Kafy A, Alamoudi R, Alkafy S, Qary R. Female sexual function during pregnancy: A comparative cross sectional study in Saudi Arabia. *Glob J Res Anal* 2019; 8: 85–89.
- [4] Bouzouita I, Ellouze F, El Kefi H, Chaari I, Cheikh CB, Krir M, et al. Sexuality of the Tunisian pregnant women: Facts between myth and reality. Sexologies 2018; 27: e103–e109.
- [5] de Jong DC, Reis HT, Peters BJ, DeHaan C, Birnbaum GE. The role of implicit sexual desire in romantic relationships. *Personal Individual Diff* 2019; 149: 46–56.
- [6] Fernández-Carrasco FJ, Rodríguez-Díaz L, González-Mey U, Vázquez-Lara JM, Gómez-Salgado J, Parrón-Carreño T. Changes in sexual desire in women and their partners during pregnancy. J Clin Med 2020; 9: 526–540.
- [7] Derogatis L, Clayton A, Lewis-D'Agostino D, Wunderlich G, Fu Y. Validation of the female sexual distress scale-revised for assessing distress in women with hypoactive sexual desire disorder. J Sex Med 2008; 5: 357–364.
- [8] Gava G, Visconti M, Salvi F, Bartolomei I, Seracchioli R, Meriggiola MC. Prevalence and psychopathological determinants of sexual dysfunction and related distress in women with and without multiple sclerosis. J Sex Med 2019; 16: 833–842.
- [9] Hendrickx L, Gijs L, Enzlin P. Sexual difficulties and associated sexual distress in Flanders (Belgium): A

- representative population-based survey study. *J Sex Med* 2016; 13: 650–668.
- [10] Christensen BS, Grønbæk M, Osler M, Pedersen BV, Graugaard C, Frisch M. Sexual dysfunctions and difficulties in Denmark: Prevalence and associated sociodemographic factors. *Arch Sex Behav* 2011; 40: 121–132.
- [11] Hendrickx L, Gijs L, Janssen E, Enzlin P. Predictors of sexual distress in women with desire and arousal difficulties: Distinguishing between personal, partner, and interpersonal distress. *J Sex Med* 2016; 13: 1662–1675.
- [12] Vannier SA, Rosen NO. Sexual distress and sexual problems during pregnancy: Associations with sexual and relationship satisfaction. *J Sex Med* 2017; 14: 387–395.
- [13] Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; 147: 573–577.
- [14] Malary M, Pourasghar M, Khani S, Moosazadeh M, Hamzehgardeshi Z. Psychometric properties of the sexual interest and desire inventory-female for diagnosis of hypoactive sexual desire disorder: The Persian version. *Iran J Psychiatry* 2016; 11: 262–268.
- [15] Clayton AH, Goldmeier D, Nappi RE, Wunderlich G, Lewis-D'Agostino DJ, Pyke R. Validation of the sexual interest and desire inventory-female in hypoactive sexual desire disorder. *J Sex Med* 2010; 7: 3918–3928.
- [16] Nekoo EA, Burri A, Ashrafti F, Fridlund B, Koenig HG, Derogatis LR, et al. Psychometric properties of the Iranian version of the female sexual distress scale—revised in women. J Sex Med 2014; 11: 995— 1004.
- [17] Jamali S, Mosalanejad L. Sexual dysfnction in Iranian pregnant women. *Iran J Reprod Med* 2013; 11: 479–486.
- [18] Witting K, Santtila P, Varjonen M, Jern P, Johansson A, Von Der Pahlen B, et al. Female sexual dysfunction, sexual distress, and compatibility with partner. *J Sex Med* 2008; 5: 2587–2599.
- [19] Lo SST, Kok WM. Prevalence and risk factors for sexual problems and distress in Chinese unmarried

- young women: An observational study. *J Sex Med* 2018; 15: 1620–1628.
- [20] van den Brink F, Smeets MAM, Hessen DJ, Woertman L. Positive body image and sexual functioning in Dutch female university students: The role of adult romantic attachment. Arch Sex Behav 2016; 45: 1217–1226.
- [21] Woertman L, Van den Brink F. Body image and female sexual functioning and behavior: A review. *J Sex Res* 2012; 49: 184–211.
- [22] Quinn-Nilas C, Benson L, Milhausen RR, Buchholz AC, Goncalves M. The relationship between body image and domains of sexual functioning among heterosexual, emerging adult women. Sex Med 2016; 4: e182–e189.
- [23] Afshari P, Houshyar Z, Javadifar N, Pourmotahari F, Jorfi M. The relationship between body image and sexual function in middle-aged women. *Electron Physician* 2016; 8: 3302–3308.
- [24] Hamzehgardeshi Z, Malary M, Moosazadeh M, Khani S, Pourasghar M. Body image and hypoactive sexual desire disorder relationship in a representative sample of Iranian women. *J Family Reprod Health* 2020; 14: 88–94.
- [25] Widen EM, Gallagher D. Body composition changes in pregnancy: Measurement, predictors and outcomes. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2014; 68: 643–652.

- [26] Fuller-Tyszkiewicz M, Skouteris H, Watson BE, Hill B. Body dissatisfaction during pregnancy: A systematic review of cross-sectional and prospective correlates. J Health Psychol 2013; 18: 1411–1421.
- [27] Yildiz H. The relation between prepregnancy sexuality and sexual function during pregnancy and the postpartum period: A prospective study. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2015; 41: 49–59.
- [28] Aribi L, Houidi AB, Masmoudi R, Chaabane K, Guermazi M, Amami O. [Sexualité féminine au cours de la grossesse et en post-partum: A propos de 80 femmes tunisiennes]. *Tunis Med* 2012; 90: 873–877. (in French)
- [29] Kong L, Li T, Li L. The impact of sexual intercourse during pregnancy on obstetric and neonatal outcomes: A cohort study in China. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2019; 39: 455–460.
- [30] Hamzehgardeshi Z, Malary M, Moosazadeh M, Khani S, Pourasghar M, Alianmoghaddam N. Sociodemographic determinants of low sexual desire and hypoactive sexual desire disorder: A populationbased study in Iran. BMC Women's Health 2020; 20: 233–242.
- [31] Miranda CC, Perez AV, Bossardi BR, Brust LC, Grossi FS, Valério EG, et al. Sexual function in pregnant women in the public health system. *Open J Obstet Gynecol* 2019; 9: 764–774.