Review article

Human sperm DNA damage in the context of assisted reproductive techniques

Mohamed Youssry^{1,4} M.D., Batuhan Ozmen^{2,4} M.D., Yasser Orief⁴ M.S.c., Khaled Zohni³ M.Sc, Safaa Al-Hasani⁴ Ph.D.

- 1 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Alexandria, Alexandria, Egypt.
- 2 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Ankara, Ankara, Turkey.
- 3 Medical Sciences Division, Reproduction Unit, National Research Center, Egypt.
- 4 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Schleswig Holstein, Luebeck, Germany.

Received: 11 May 2007; accepted: 27 December 2007

Abstract

Fertilization involves direct interaction of the sperm and oocyte, fusion of the cell membranes and union of male and female gamete genomes. The completion of this process and subsequent embryo development depend in part on the inherent integrity of the sperm DNA. Sperm genome quality has been emphasized for several years as playing a major role in early embryogenesis. There is clinical evidence showing that human sperm DNA damage may adversely affect reproductive outcomes and that spermatozoa of infertile men possess substantially more DNA damage than do spermatozoa of fertile men. Testing DNA integrity may help selecting spermatozoa with intact DNA or with the least amount of DNA damage for use in assisted reproductive techniques (ARTs). This review will focus on how sperm DNA is organized, what causes sperm DNA damage and what impact this damage may have on reproductive outcome.

Key words: Fertilization, Sperm DNA damage, Assisted reproductive technique (ART).

Introduction

Sperm DNA is organized in a specific manner that keeps the nuclear chromatin compact and stable (1). This DNA organization not only permits the very tightly packaged genetic information to be transferred to the egg but also insures that the DNA is delivered in a physical and chemical form that allows the developing embryo to easily access the genetic information. Fertile sperm have stable DNA, which is capable of decondensation at the appropriate time in the fertilization process and transmitting the DNA without defects. The positive relationship between poor sperm parameters and DNA damage in human spermatozoa points to

Correspondence Author:

Prof. Safaa Al-Hasani, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Luebeck, Ratzeburger Allee 160, 23560, Luebeck, Germany.

E-mail: sf alhasani@hotmail.com

inherent problems in spermatogenesis in specific patients. Various hypotheses have been proposed as the molecular mechanism of sperm DNA damage. The most important ones are abnormal packaging, chromatin oxidative stress apoptosis (2). Semen samples that contain high levels of DNA damage are often associated with decreased fertilization rates and/or embryo cleavage after in vitro fertilization (IVF) and intra cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) and may be linked to early embryo death. Although the most normal appearing and motile spermatozoa are selected during ART, there is always a chance that sperm containing varying degrees of DNA damage may be used.

The cause of infertility in infertile men with normal semen parameters could be related to abnormal sperm DNA(3). Therefore, the evaluation of sperm DNA integrity, in addition to routine sperm parameters, could add further information

on the quality of spermatozoa. The damaged Sperm DNA is critical in the context of ART which are increasingly used to treat infertile couples. This review aims to summarize the impact of human sperm DNA damage in the context of the different damage origins on male infertility and prognosis of ART.

Etiology of sperm DNA damage

A variety of several etiological factors such as cigarette smoking, leukocytospermia, drugs, irradiation and varicoceles have been correlated with increased levels of human sperm DNA damage, and in turn, affect the status of male fertility.

Cigarette smoking

Cigarette smoking has mutagenic properties, having been associated with an overall reduction in semen quality, and specifically a reduction in sperm count and motility and increase in number of abnormal cells (4). Also it was reported that the DNA fragmentation index (% DFI) was significantly higher in fertile men who smoked (p=0.02) (5). This observation was first described in 35 smokers included in IVF programme; these subjects had a significantly higher percentage of spermatozoa with DNA damage than did non-smokers (4.7 \pm 1.2 versus 1.1 \pm 0.2 %; P=0.01) (6). A possible explanation for these finding could be the increased leucocytes-induced oxidative stress (OS) on developing or mature sperm.

Metabolites of cigarette smoke components may induce an inflammatory reaction in the male genital tract with subsequent release of chemical mediators of inflammation these inflammatory mediators such as interleukin (IL)-6 and (IL)-8 can recruit and activate leucocytes (5). In turn, activated leucocytes can generate high levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in semen which may overwhelm the antioxidant strategies and result in OS (7). Another causative factor would be the fact that the seminal plasma in smokers contains lower levels of antioxidants than that of non-smokers (8).

Leukocytospermia

Leucocytes in general are present in most ejaculates and are thought to play an important role in immunosurveillance and phagocytic clearance of abnormal sperm (9). However increased concentrations of leucocytes in semen indicate the presence of a genital tact infection or inflammation and have been reported to be associated with an increase in immature germ cell concentration (10).

Higher amounts of DNA-damaged cells were reported in the raw semen samples of leukocytospermic patients compared with normal donors (39 \pm 10.9 versus 24.9 \pm 10.2 %; P<0.01). Following the fractionation of semen samples into different portions according to their stage of maturation, it was also reported that chromatin alterations were highest in the immature fraction (11).

Iatrogenic sperm DNA damage

Normally seminal plasma contains high and low molecular-weight factors that protect spermatozoa against free radical toxicity. They include enzymatic ROS scavengers such as Cu, Zn, superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (12). Also, seminal plasma contains chain breaking antioxidants such as ascorbate, urate, albumin, glutathione and taurine (13). Thus, the seminal plasma plays a crucial protective role against ROS and its removal during sperm preparation may be hazardous to sperm DNA integrity (14).

Another form of iatrogenic interference that might lead to DNA damage is that of cryopreservation, which is used extensively in ART programmes. Although it was once proved that the cryopreservation of testicular sperm does not increase baseline levels of DNA damage, most other studies indicate that the freeze-thaw process significantly damages spermatozoal DNA from infertile men (15).

Testicular hyperthermia and varicocele

A febrile illness has been shown to cause an increase in the histone protamine ratio and DNA damage in ejaculated spermatozoa. Direct testicular hyperthermia has also been shown to cause these effects (16). Varicoceles have been associated with sperm DNA damage. The level of sperm DNA damage is related to the high levels of OS found in the semen of infertile men with this condition (17). Recent studies have demonstrated that varicoceles are associated with the abnormal retention of sperm cytoplasmic droplets (a morphologic feature associated with high levels of ROS) and that these retained droplets are correlated with sperm DNA damage in infertile men (18). Furthermore, sperm DNA integrity has been shown to improve after varicocele repair (19).

Drugs and irradiation

Chemotherapeutic drugs such as fludarabine, cyclophosphamide and busolphane can cause testicular damage as manifested by reduced testicular volume, oligospermia, elevated FSH and

LH and lower testosterone concentrations (20). High levels of sperm DNA damage can be seen following even a single dose of these drugs which may persist for several months after cessation of their use (21). Male germ cells are sensitive to the mutagenic effects of irradiation. Although sperm DNA damage exits following radiotherapy, no increase in genetic defect or congenital malformations was detected among children conceived by parents who had previously undergone treatment (22).

Origin of sperm DNA damage

Damage of sperm DNA or its chromatin structure can be occurred at any step of the whole spermatogenesis (23). The positive relationship between poor sperm parameters and DNA damage in mature spermatozoa points to inherent problems in spermatogenesis in specific patients (23).

Three theories have been proposed to explain DNA anomalies in the ejaculated human spermatozoa.

The first theory is correlated with poor chromatin packaging or abnormal making due to under protamination which results in the presence of endogenous nicks in DNA (2). The second one is the OS mechanism that has been studied extensively, which is caused by the overproduction of ROS (24,25). The last one proposes that the presence of endogenous nicks is characteristic of programmed cell death aiming to the functional elimination of possibly defective germ cells from the genetic pool. Recent models of apoptosis include receptor mediated pathways and intrinsic triggered apoptosis, as well as cytotoxic or stress induced forms (2).

All these mechanisms, either individually or together, have some bearing on the presence of abnormal spermatozoa in the ejaculate, and they may or may not be interrelated.

Human sperm chromatin structure and abnormal chromatin packing

Sperm DNA is organized in a specific manner that keeps the chromatin in the nucleus compact and stable. It is packed with a special type of small, basic protein into a tight, almost crystalline status that is at least 6 times more condensed than in mitotic chromosomes (26). It occupies almost the entire nucleus volume, whereas somatic cell DNA only partially fills the nucleus.

The DNA in somatic cell nuclei is first packed into nucleosomes (27). These structures consist of a protein core formed by an octamer of stones with 2 laps of wrapped DNA around base pairs. The

nucleosomes are then further coiled into regular helixes also called solenoids (28). These 2 types of DNA packaging increase the volume of the (29). Thus, a completely different type of DNA packaging must be present in mammalian sperm nuclei.

In 1991, Ward and Coffey proposed four levels of organization for packaging in the spermatozoon: (I) chromosomal anchoring, which refers to the attachment of the DNA to the nuclear annulus; (II) formation of DNA loop domains as the DNA attaches to the newly added nuclear matrix; (III) replacement of histones by protamines, which condenses the DNA into compact doughnuts; and (IV) chromosomal positioning (29). In order for the sperm nucleus to evolve and become highly condensed with a species-specific shape, it undergoes a complicated series of reaction through somatic histones and non-histones chromatin proteins are replaced during a variable period of time by one or more protamine types (30). In the first step, the transition nuclear proteins (TP1 and TP2) replace the somatic cell histones. In the second step, during the elongated spermatid stage, the sperm protamine proteins replace the transition proteins. The result is a highly compact sperm chromatin, which fosters DNA stability and transcriptional quiescence. In humans there are 2 forms of sperms protamine: protamine-1 (P1) and protamie-2 (P2), which occur in a strictly regulated 1-to-1ratio (31).

Sperm epididymal maturation involves a final stage of chromatin organization in which protamine cross-linking by disulfide bond formation occurs-a step that is supported by the fact that protamins contain a significant number of cysteine residues that participate in sperm chromatin compaction by forming multiple interand intra-protamine disulfide cross-links. All these interactions make mammalian DNA the most condensed eukaryotic DNA (32).

Therefore, more than two third of the chromatin structure of human sperm is thus packaged by protamines, only up to 15% of the human DNA are less tightly compacted and packaged by histones. It has shown that infertile men have an increased sperm histone: protamine ratio than fertile counterparts. This alteration of histone:protamine ratio, that is also called as abnormal packing, increases susceptibility of sperm DNA to external stresses due to poorer chromatin compaction. Furthermore, complete deficiency of protamine has been demonstrated in about 5%-15% of infertile men. The studies conducted by Carrell and Liu, and Yebra et al describe a population with fertile

males with undetectable sperm P2 (33). Recently, P1deficiency has also been identified in a population of subfertile males (34). It has been postulated that protamine deficiency is related to DNA damage in human sperm.

The mitochondrial DNA of human sperm is a small, circular DNA which is not bound to special proteins it has been demonstrated that sperm motility is directly related to the mitochondrial volume within the sperm mid piece. The mitochondrial DNA exhibits a high rate of mutation or deletions those have been associated with reduced sperm motility. The inheritances of mitochondrial DNA is primarily maternal and only in 1% of cases paternal transmission of mitochondrial DNA mutations have been reported (35).

Although the first study on mitochondrial DNA inheritance after ICSI suggested that human embryos eliminate the mitochondrial DNA of the injected sperm (36), another study has shown that abnormal paternal mitochondrial DNA transmission may not be uncommon when poorquality gametes are used. It is also of interest that populations of human spermatozoa exhibiting evidence of mitochondrial dysfunction also show high rates of nuclear DNA fragmentation (37). Abnormal sperm samples revealed high incidence of mitochondrial DNA damage, which confirms their role in male infertility (38). Although the biological significance of sperm DNA damage remains unclear, it appears to be detrimental to fertility in humans and has been linked to lower embryo quality, blastulation rates, and IVF pregnancy rates (39-41).

The role of apoptosis of human spermatozoa in DNA damage

Apoptosis is a mode of cellular death based on a genetic mechanism that induces a serious of cellular, morphological and biochemical alteration, leading the cell to suicide (42). This process usually takes place at specific moments in normal embryonic development to allow the definitive form of tissues and in adult life to discard cells that no longer have a function, or have an altered function (43). In mammalian testes, germ cells expand clonally through many rounds of mitosis before undergoing the differentiation steps that result in mature spermatozoa. This clonal expansion is excessive and thus requires a mechanism such as apoptosis (44).

Apoptosis can be postulated to have two putative roles during normal spermatogenesis: limitation of the germ cell population to numbers

that can be supported by the Sertoli cells and, possibly, selective depletion of abnormal spermatozoa. During apoptosis the cells shrink and exhibit several typical features, including cell membrane disruption, cytoskeletal rearrangement, nuclear condensation, and intra nucleosomal DNA fragmentation (45). Apoptosis in the human spermatozoa is a result of DNA strand breaks induced by a cascade of regulatory mechanisms with infertility (46). The degradation of DNA into fragments approximately 185 bp and its multiples in size is one of the best characterized biochemical features of apoptotic cell death and is used as the basis for the commonly used labeling techniques for detecting apoptotic cells (47).

Pathways involving the cell surface protein Fas (a member of the tumor necrosis factor receptor family) may mediate apoptosis in sperm. Binding of Fas legend (FasL) or agonistic anti-Fas antibody to Fas kills cells by apoptosis (48). In men with normal semen characteristics, the percentage of Fas positive spermatozoa is small. However, in men with abnormal semen parameters the percentage of Fas-positive spermatozoa can be as high as 50%. Therefore, the presence of spermatozoa that posses' apoptotic markers, such as Fas positivity and DNA damage, indicate that in men with abnormal semen parameters, an "abortive apoptosis" has taken place (49).

Failure to clear Fas-positive spermatozoa may be due to dysfunction at one more levels. Because Sertoli cells can limit this proliferation by producing FasL, it has been postulated that oligospermic men with reduced spermatogenesis may not produce enough spermatozoa to trigger this action (50). In these men, Fas-positive spermatozoa may escape the signal to undergo apoptosis. Fas-positive spermatozoa may also exist because of problems in activating Fas-mediated apoptosis. This hypothesis may explain why patients with abnormal semen characteristics also posses a higher percentage of spermatozoa containing DNA damage and abnormal spermatozoa that display markers of apoptosis (51).

Another major component of apoptosis machinery that contributes to sperm DNA damage involves specific proteinase, called Caspases (cysteinyl aspartate-specific proteinases), which have been claimed to play a major role in the regulation of apoptosis. More than a dozen of specific proteinase has been reported to be related to apoptosis in the human seminiferous epithelium that expressed as inactive proenzymes and participate in a cascade triggered in response to

pro-apoptotic signals. Among these, caspase-3 is considered to be a major executioner protease (52). Caspases share the ability to cleave their substrates on the carboxyl side of aspartate residues (48). Cell-surface death receptors such as Fas or tumor necrosis factor-a receptor 1 (TNFR 1) are activated by ligand binding resulting in the proteolytic activation of caspases, in the destruction of vital proteins and finally in the death of the cell.

The FasL/Fas ligation in inner mitochondrial membrane leads to activation of Capases 8 & 9. once activated these caspases transduce a signal to effector caspases including caspase 3, which in turn appears to induce activation of caspase-activated deoxyribonuclease (CAD; also called DNA fragmentation factor 40 or caspase activated nuclease) leading to DNA degradation (53). In addition, caspases activate other proteins needed for the achievement of apoptosis such as caspase-activated Dnase which is responsible for DNA fragmentation (47).

The Bcl-2 family proteins (Bcl-x, Bcl-w, Bax, Bak, Bid, Bad), the tumor suppressor p53, the nuclear factor kB (NF-kB) and the heat shock proteins (HSPs) have been shown to be regulators of apoptosis (54). Having in mind the ultimate purpose of apoptosis, spermatozoa exhibiting apoptotic features should be eliminated in the ejaculate. Several other studies have also found that other apoptotic markers such as Bcl-x, p53 and annexin V are also present on ejaculated human spermatozoa and show distinct relationships with abnormal semen parameters (51, 55). It has been postulated that in these sub fertile men spermatozoa that have been assigned to undergo apoptosis escape this process, so that the correct clearance of spermatozoa via apoptosis is not occurring. The final outcome is the production of spermatozoa that possess a range of anomalies including abnormal levels of apoptotic proteins and/or cytoplasmic retention, abnormal chromatin packaging (indicated by low levels of protamine) and the presence of DNA strand breaks.

Oxidative stress

OS and its role in the origins of male infertility were first appreciated in 1943, when the Scottish andrologist John MacLeod demonstrated that catalase could support the motility of human spermatozoa incubated under aerobic conditions (56). His explanation for these findings that human spermatozoa are vulnerable to OS created by ROS has been confirmed in a number of independent studies (57). OS at high levels are potentially toxic to sperm quality and function (58). ROS are highly

reactive oxidizing agents among which are included hydrogen peroxide, superoxide and free radicals, the latter being defined as any "atom or molecule that posses one or more unpaired electrons". The presence of high ROS levels has been reported in the semen of 25-40% of infertile men (59).

Furthermore, studies in which the sperm was exposed to artificially produced ROS resulted in a significant increase in DNA damage in the form of modification of all bases, production of base-free sites, deletions, frame shift, DNA cross-links and chromosomal rearrangement (60). Two factors protect the sperm DNA from oxidative insult: the characteristic tight packaging of the DNA, and the antioxidants present in seminal plasma. However, OS may develop as a result of an imbalance between ROS generation and antioxidant scavenging (61).

It has been shown that the amount of ROS generation well controlled by seminal antioxidants in the semen of fertile men. Thus initially, the pathogenic effects of ROS presumed to occur in cases of excessive production that can not be tolerated by antioxidant capabilities of the male reproductive tract or seminal plasma (62). Subsequently, it has been claimed that there is not a significant reduction in the total antioxidant capacity associated with increased levels of ROS. Furthermore, the pathological levels of ROS detected in the semen of infertile men was reported to be more likely caused by increased ROS production than by reduced antioxidant capacity of seminal plasma (63).

Morphologically abnormal spermatozoa and leukocytes are the main source of excess ROS generation in semen (62). Activated leukocytes are capable of producing 100-fold higher amounts of ROS than non-activated leukocytes (64). Sperm DNA thus is more prone to leukocyte-induced ROS damage in infertile men with abnormal semen parameters likely possessing "masked" DNA damage and/or more fragile chromatin structure which are under the sensitivity threshold of the assays used for the sperm DNA damage assessment (65).

Assessment of DNA damage

Sperm DNA fragmentation can be evaluated in a variety of ways. These assays include single cell gel electrophoresis (COMET) assay, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT)-mediated-deoxyuridine triphosphate (dUTP) nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay, In-situ nick translation (NT assay), and acridine orange staining technique

(AOT). The COMET assay measures DNA damage by quantifying the single- and doublestranded breaks associated with DNA damage (65). In this assay, spermatozoa are stained with a fluorescent DNA-binding dye. The resulting images, which resemble "comets", are measured after staining to determine the extent of DNA damage (66). The characteristics that have been used for analysis include the diameter of the nucleus and the COMET length (67). It is a useful technique because it allows for the distinction between the different kinds of DNA fragmentation, apoptotic or necrotic. Apoptotic cells produce teardrop shaped comets due to the migration and accumulation of the short DNA fragments, and the intensity of the tail represents the amount of DNA fragments present (68).

Apoptotic DNA fragmentation is characterized by double stranded DNA breaks. Tomsu et al (39) noted that the COMET head and tail DNA parameters could be considered potentially useful predictors of embryo quality and IVF outcomes, especially in couples with unexplained infertility. It has also been shown that high loads of DNA damage were predictive of embryo development failure after ICSI (69). On the other hand, Abu-Hassan et al (70) do not report any correlation between apoptosis levels assessed by Comet assay and the outcome of ICSI as far as fertilization and embryo quality are concerned.

The TUNEL assay detects both single- and double-stranded DNA breaks by labeling the free 3'-OH terminus with modified nucleotides in an enzymatic reaction with TdT and can be analyzed microscopically or using flow cytometry. It was introduced by Gorczyca et al (71) to identify a population of spermatozoa in the ejaculate that were believed to be apoptotic. Muratori et al (72) demonstrated that DNA fragmentation assessed by the TUNEL method was not associated with an apoptosis-like phenomenon in ejaculated sperm and that DNA fragmentation should be considered a sign of defective sperm maturation probably dating back to the time of DNA packaging. Sakkas et al (51) support that TUNEL positivity and apoptotic markers do no always simultaneously in spermatozoa, however, semen samples that had a low sperm concentration and poor morphology were more likely to show high levels of TUNEL positivity and Fas and p53

Sun et al (6) reported that, using the TUNEL assay, a negative association was found between the percentage of sperm with DNA fragmentation and embryo cleavage rates after IVF. Lopes et al

(73) reported a negative association between sperm with DNA fragmentation and ICSI fertilization rate. Benchaib et al (74) found that a high proportion of sperm with fragmented DNA (>10%) was a negative factor for achievement of pregnancy when ICSI was performed, but there was no relationship when conventional IVF was carried out. COMET and TUNEL assays are commonly used in research applications for detecting apoptotic DNA and both correlate well with fertility outcome in ART (75).

The NT assay quantifies the incorporation of biotinylated- dUTP at single stranded DNA breaks in a reaction that is catalyzed by the template dependent enzyme, DNA polymerase I. The NT assay identifies spermatozoa that contain appreciable and variable levels of endogenous DNA damage. The clinical value of the NT assay is severely limited because no correlation has been proven with fertilization during in vivo studies, and because of its lack of sensitivity compared with other assays.

Sperm chromatin structure assay (SCSA) is a flow cytometric assay that relies on the fact that abnormal sperm chromatin is highly susceptible to physical induction of partial DNA denaturation in situ (76). It measures the intensity of acridine orange (AO) fluorescence using flow cytometry. AO fluoresces green when binding to native DNA and red when it binds to the fragmented DNA. The ratio of red/red+green yields the percentage of DFI. While the SCSA is a statistically robust test (77). Not all laboratories have access to a flow cytometery or the technical expertise to perform this assay. Most methods currently used to assess apoptosis and sperm DNA damage lack a threshold between normal levels in the average fertile population and the minimal levels of sperm DNA integrity required for achieving pregnancy, except for the SCSA. The most important parameter of the SCSA is the DFI, which represents the population of cells with DNA damage (40).

Evenson et al (77) and Spano et al (78) studied the relationship between SCSA results and sperm fertilization capacity. Both demonstrated that when >30% of sperm have abnormal chromatin as evaluated by SCSA; human male infertility is hampered independent of sperm number, morphology and motility. The categories proposed by Evenson et al (40) for individual fertility potential according to DFI fraction are: excellent <15%, good 15-24%, fair 25-30% and poor >30% DFI, and if HDS (high DNA staining) is >15% the fertility potential is downgraded at least one category.

The Acridine orange test (AOT) is a simple microscopic procedure based on the same principle as the SCSA but indistinct colors, rapid fading of fluorescence, and heterogeneous staining of slides makes AOT a test of questionable value in clinical practice (79). Recently, a new method, the sperm chromatin dispersion test (SCD), was introduced for evaluating sperm DNA fragmentation (80). The SCD test is based on the principle that sperm with fragmented DNA fail to produce the characteristic halo of dispersed DNA loops that is observed in sperm with non fragmented DNA following acid denaturation and removal of nuclear proteins.

Other methods include high performance liquid chromatography which is used to measure the level of 8-OhdG, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), Electron microscopy, and FISH (81).

Impact of DNA damage on fertilization, cleavage, implantation, and live birth

Several studies have correlated the degree of DNA damage with various indices of fertility such as the fertilization rate, embryo cleavage rate, implantation rate, pregnancy rate and live birth rate of the offspring. If sperm DNA is unable to after entering the decondense ooplasma, fertilization may not take place or a post fertilization failure may occur when sperm DNA is defective. Pregnancy loss may occur with increase in degree of sperm DNA damage and may be the cause of unexplained pregnancy loss in some patients (82). In addition, the degree of DNA damage can also affect the ability of a couple to (80,81).The conceive naturally relationship between conventional semen parameters and sperm DNA fragmentation is not strong enough to eliminate DNA fragmentation as a potential source of infertility in normozoospermic men and requires a distinct assessment of sperm DNA fragmentation in male infertility (83).

Saleh et al (17) in a prospective study, they examined the relationship between sperm DNA damage and ART outcomes in 33 couples with male factor infertility and whether this damage was related to seminal oxidative stress. They found that, clinical pregnancy was achieved in 27 % (9/33) of couples who underwent ART [26 % (5/19) with IUI, 30 % (3/10) with IVF and 25 % (1/4) with ICSI]. The sperm DFI was negatively correlated with sperm concentration (r = -0.31; P = 0.001), percentage motility (r = -0.47; P < 0.001) and normal sperm morphological forms (r = -0.40; P < 0.0001). In addition to higher DNA fragmentation index and oxidative stress were found in men who failed to initiate a pregnancy

after assisted reproductive techniques (n = 24). compared with the cases of those who succeeded and of the fertile donors. DNA fragmentation index was correlated positively with oxidative stress (r = 0.27), and negatively with fertilization (r = -0.70)and embryo quality (r = -0.70). In a blinded study, Høst and colleagues (84) studied DNA damage in four clinically different groups of infertile couples. DNA damage was correlated with semen parameters, the fertilization rate and IVF outcome. In group I (n=75), the female partner had tubal obstruction. Group II consisted of men with unexplained infertility (n =50). Group III consisted of men with oligozoospermia undergoing IVF with their partner (n=50). The proportion spermatozoa having DNA strand breaks was negatively correlated with the proportion of oocytes that were fertilized after IVF in all 3 groups (r = -0.39, P < 0.01; r = -0.61, P < 0.01; r =-0.39, P < 0.01, respectively). Group IV consisted of men with oligozoospermia (n=50) undergoing ICSI with their partner.

They presented negative correlations between the proportion of spermatozoa with DNA strand breaks and the fertilization rates in all groups except for the ICSI group. This might be attributed to the fact that, on performing ICSI, there is selection of morphologically normal spermatozoa, diminishing the chance of injecting spermatozoa having DNA strand breaks (73).

Interestingly, the number of spermatozoa with DNA strand breaks was significantly higher in the group of men where the females had tubal obstruction compared to proven fertile men which suggest that a male factor may also be included. They suggest that if sperm samples from couples with unexplained infertility exhibit more than 4% DNA strand breaks in the spermatozoa, these couples should have ICSI as the impact of DNA strand breaks will be reduced. (85). Huang et al (86) correlated sperm DNA fragmentation rates >10 % with lower fertilization rates but not with pregnancy outcome.

In another study conducted by Muriel et al (87) they Analyzed DNA fragmentation by the SCD test in 170 aliquots obtained from the ejaculate and from the processed semen used for ART. Fertilization rate was inversely correlated with DNA fragmentation (r= -0.245 P= 0.045). Higher DNA fragmentation rate gave an increased proportion of zygotes showing asynchrony between the nucleolar precursor bodies of zygote pronuclei (73.8% vs. 28.8% P < 0.001). In addition, the slower embryo development and worst morphology on day 6 was correlated with

higher sperm DNA fragmentation (47.7% vs. 29.4% P = 0.044). They also observed a negative correlation between DNA fragmentation and the implantation rate (r = -0.250 P = .042). However, SCD test values were not statistically different in cycles that resulted in a pregnancy compared with those that did not (33.2 vs. 28.2 and 32.4 vs. 34.7).

DFI levels >30% - 40% were incompatible with fertility in vivo, whatever sperm concentration, morphology and motility (77, 78). Bungum et al (41) examined the relationship between the outcome of intrauterine insemination (IUI), and IVF / ICSI and sperm chromatin defects evaluated by SCSA. Two groups were studied for each ART procedure; one with DFI $\leq 27\%$ and another with DFI > 27% since a DFI of 27% previously was reported to be the cut off level for achieving a pregnancy by in vitro ART (83). In IUI patients, there were 20.2% clinical pregnancies per ET and 17.6% deliveries per started cycle for DFI≤ 27%, while the respective rates for DFI > 27% were 4.5% and 4.5%. While in the IVF / ICSI patients there were 38.2% clinical pregnancies, 32.7% implantation rate and 31.4% deliveries for DFI ≤ 27%, while for DFI >27% the corresponding values were 38.2%, 28.6% and 34.3%. A result also confirmed in the study of Payne et al (88) who reported that nine of nineteen couples with DFI >27% achieved clinical pregnancy with IVF / ICSI. On the contrary, other studies reported that, no pregnancy after in vitro ART procedures, both standard IVF and ICSI, when the DFI in raw semen was more than 27% (83, 89).

Larson-Cook et al (83) studied the correlation between the fertilization, embryo development, implantation and pregnancy after rates conventional IVF and ICSI with sperm nuclear DNA fragmentation assessed by the (SCSA) test . The fertilization rate $(72.5\pm0.2\%)$ was not related to DFI. This means that normal fertilization does not ensure high quality DNA in the paternal genome and supports previous studies that showed no relationship between DNA fragmentation and fertilization rate (69). On the contrary, other investigators have shown a significant negative correlation between sperm DNA fragmentation and IVF and ICSI fertilization rates (6, 73).

In the study of Larson – Cook et al (86) cleavage rates were not related to SCSA parameters. Blastocyst formation rate (36.5±5.2%) was also not significantly related to SCSA parameters. All patients who achieved pregnancy had DFI <27% which is contradictory with the results of Payne et al (88) reporting that only 2 out of 22 couples achieved clinical pregnancy when

DFI was \leq 9%. One patient achieved a chemical pregnancy with DFI \geq 27%, but subsequently lost the pregnancy before ultrasound (90).

Supporting the results of Larson – Cook et al (83), Gandini et al (91) studied the relationships between SCSA parameters evaluated on both neat and processed semen used in ART procedures and fertilization rate, embryo quality and pregnancy rate following IVF and ICSI. No differences were seen in SCSA parameter values between patients initiating pregnancies and not doing so in either conventional IVF or ICSI. Pregnancies and normal delivery were obtained even with high levels of DFI. The mean DFI value for men who had a child was 32.1%, which was not different from the other group of men not having a child (25.1%).

Therefore results of this study were similar with those reported by Larson- Cook et al (83) stating that fertilization rate, cleavage rate and blastocyst formation rate were not significantly related to SCSA parameters and contradict the results of Saleh et al (17) who found that DFI levels were negatively correlated with fertilization and embryo quality after IVF and ICSI.

In a study of Benchaib et al (92) statistically significant negative relationship was found for sperm DNA fragmentation and fertilization when ICSI and IVF were compared. With ICSI, a statistically significant negative relationship was found between fertilization rate and percentage of sperm DNA fragmentation (DFI). The risk of non transfer due to blocked embryo development increased when the DFI exceeded 15% (18.2% for ICSI vs 4.2% for IVF) with an odds ratio of 5.05. The miscarriage risk increased four fold when the DFI exceeded 15% (37.5% for ICSI vs 8.8% for IVF). Sperm DNA fragmentation measured 2 to 5 months before the assisted reproduction procedure was a prognostic indicator of the fertilization, pregnancy, and miscarriage rates and the pregnancy outcome.

An interesting study published by Greco et al (93), they reported that the incidence of DNA fragmentation was markedly lower in testicular with spermatozoa compared eiaculated spermatozoa, and there were no differences in fertilization rate and cleavage rates and in embryo morphological grade between the ICSI attempts performed with ejaculated and with testicular spermatozoa. However, eight ongoing pregnancies were achieved by ICSI with testicular spermatozoa (44.4% pregnancy rate; 20.7% implatation rate), whereas ICSI with ejaculated spermatozoa led to only one pregnancy which was spontaneously aborted.

Li et al (94) in a meta analysis study mentioned that for articles using the TUNEL assay, the pooled results of IVF outcomes indicated that the clinical pregnancy rate (RR 0.68, 95% CI 0.54 to 0.85, P = 0.006), but not the fertilization rate (RR 0.79, 95% CI 0.54 to 1.16, P = 0.23) decreased significantly for patients with high degree of sperm DNA damage compared with those with low degree of sperm DNA damage. In addition to, there was no significant difference in either fertilization rate (RR 1.03, 95% CI 0.89 to1.18, P = 0.70) or clinical pregnancy rate (RR 0.76, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.04, P = 0.70) or clinical

0.09) between these two groups. As for the SCSA papers, the pooled results s howed no significant effects of sperm DNA damage on the clinical pregnancy rate after IVF (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.25 to 1.31, P = 0.19) or ICSI (RR 1.18, 95% CI 0.81 to 1.74, P=0.38). Thus, there are still some controversies on the effect of sperm DNA damage and ART outcomes. However, majority of the studies indicated sperm DNA damage have negative impact on pregnancy rate, embryo quality, live birth and early pregnancy loss (Table I).

Table I. The results of studies on sperm DNA damage and outcomes in the context of assisted reproductive techniques.

Method of diagnose	Pregnancy rate	Embryo quality	Early pregnancy loss	Live birth
Studies assessed by TUNEL				
Tomlinson et al., 2001(95)	Decrease	No relation	-	-
Benchaib et al.,2003(96)	Decrease	Decrease	-	-
Huang et al., 2005 (86)	No relation	No relation	-	-
Borini et al., 2006 (90)	Decrease	-	Increase	Decrease
Benchaib et al., 2006 (92)	Decrease	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
Studies assessed by Comet assay				
Morris et al., 2002(69)	-	Decrease	Increase	Increase
Tomsu et al., 2002 (39)	Decrease	Decrease	-	-
Nasr-Esfahani et al., 2005 (97)	-	Decrease	-	-
Studies assessed by SCSA or SCD				
Larson-Cook et al., 2003 (83)	Decrease	No relation	-	-
Gandini et al., 2004 (91)	No relation	Decrease	-	-
Bungum et al., 2004 (41)	No relation	-	-	-
Payne et al., 2005 (88)	No relation	-	-	-
Muriel et al., 2006 (87)	Decrease	Decrease in implantation rate	-	-
Bungum et al., 2007 (98)	Decrease	-	- Decrease	Decrease

^{- :} not determined

TUNEL: Terminal transferase dUTP nick end labeling

SCD: Sperm chromatin dispersion test SCSA: Sperm chromatin structure assay

COMET assay performed by single cell electrophoresis.

Is it safe to use DNA damaged human sperm in ART?

The safety of the ICSI procedure in severely compromised semen characteristics and DNA damage has been questioned. In the study of Bungum et al (41) the men in the ICSI group had significantly higher DFI levels compared with the men in the IVF group (median 18% versus 15%). Consequently, concern arises as to the fact that the most efficient ART techniques are used to treat males with the highest level of sperm DNA damage. Larson- COOK et al (83) support that ICSI overrides safeguards that typically prevent sperm with damaged DNA to fertilize via spontaneous pregnancy or conception after conventional IVF.

Aitken and Krausz (25) proposed that sperm DNA damage is promutagenic and can give rise to mutations after fertilization as the oocyte attempts to repair DNA damage prior to the initiation of the

first cleavage. Mutations occurring at this point will be fixed in the germline and may be responsible for infertility, childhood cancer in the offspring, and imprinting diseases (99). The significant decrease in implantation and pregnancy rates using sperm with high DFI indicates that the damaged paternal genome is selected against during embryonic development which provides a possible explanation for the lack of evidence for an increased incidence of maior congenital malformations among children born after ICSI (100).

Gandini et al (91) stated that the biological impact of an abnormal sperm chromatin structure depends on the combined effects of extend of DNA damage in the spermatozoa and the capacity of the oocyte to repair that damage. Therefore, if spermatozoa selected from samples with extensively damaged DNA are used for IVF, the oocyte repair capacity may be inadequate leading

to a low rate of embryonic development and high early pregnancy loss. However, although we are now reasonably able to assess the damage level of a sperm population we cannot assess the repair capability of the oocyte, neither can the possibility of selection of sperm with limited DNA damage compensated by the oocyte repair capabilities, in a sample characterized by a high DFI, be excluded.

In general, studies have not shown an increased risk of major birth defects in children conceived with either ICSI or standard IVF (101). Much of this research, however, has had methodological problems, including inadequate sample sizes and a lack of appropriate data for comparison. On the contrary, some studies showed that, the increased risk for major and minor birth defects after ICSI described in some studies might be attributes to parental background factors that required the use of ICSI and not to the technique itself (102).

Michèle Hansen et al (103) documented that twenty-six of the 301 infants conceived with ICSI (8.6%) and 75 of the 837 infants conceived with IVF (9.0%) had a major birth defect diagnosed by one year of age, as compared with 168 of the 4000 naturally conceived infants (4.2%) As compared with natural conception, the odds ratio for a major birth defect by one year of age, after adjustment for maternal age and parity, the sex of the infant, and correlation between siblings, was 2.0 (95% confidence interval, 1.3 to 3.2) with ICSI, and 2.0 (95%confidence interval, 1.5 to 2.9) with IVF. Therefore, infants conceived with use of ICSI or IVF have twice as high a risk of a major birth defect as naturally conceived infants. ART raises specific concerns about the health of sperm used for fertilization. It seems that the development of new methods for identification, selection and use of spermatozoa with intact DNA during ART would eliminate the risk of inheriting genetic diseases.

Cryopreservation and DNA human spermatozoa

Despite various advances in cryopreservation methodology, the recovery rate of functional post-thaw spermatozoa remains mediocre, with sperm motility being significantly decreased after freezing. The most commonly reported detrimental effect of cryopreservation on human spermatozoa is a marked reduction in motility (104). The primary cause of cellular damage during cryopreservation is the formation of intracellular ice (105). Whenever cells, or culture media, are cooled below their freezing point, water is removed from the solution in the form of ice. The

concentration of solutes remaining in the unfrozen fraction increases, thereby both depressing the freezing point and increasing the osmotic pressure of the remaining solution. Hence, biological systems freeze progressively over a wide temperature range, during which the solute becomes gradually more concentrated as the temperature falls (106). This leads to irreversible rupturing of plasma and nuclear membranes and disturbance of cellular organelles. The nucleus has generally been considered to be a stable constituent of the cell, but that inappropriate chromatin condensation can occur with freezing Further cellular damage may be caused during the thawing process as the ice melts or re-crystallizes. Slow thawing is most likely to induce injury, as it allows time for consolidation of microscopic ice crystals into larger forms which are known to be damaging

Duru et al (108) reported that cryopreservationthawing of human sperm from patients was associated with membrane change, as revealed by membrane translocation of phosphatidylserine, while having no major impact on DNA fragmentation. Probably the DNA of spermatozoa obtained from infertile men is more susceptible to be damaged by freeze-thawing rather than the fertile men sperm DNA (20). On contrary, de Paula et al (109) suggested that cryopreservation induces apoptotic sperm DNA fragmentation regardless of sperm concentration and the increase in DNA fragmentation was found to be similar in both normozoospermic and oligozoospermic men. However, men with oligozoospermia presented with higher pre- and post-cryopreservation apoptotic sperm DNA fragmentation.

DNA damage of human sperm has been reported to be less with flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen that performed without the use of cryopreservative. This technique gives the closest results to those reproduced by cryopreservation of fresh human semen samples (68). Isachenko et al (110) compared the results of slow-rate freezing and vitrification also showed that the vitrification of human spermatozoa in the absence of conventional cryoprotectants is indeed feasible. Thus, DNA integrity of vitrified sperm is comparable with the results obtained spermatozoa that cryopreserved by standard slowfreezing/thawing. As well, the same group also regimes suggests that optimal for cryoprotectant-free cryopreservation of spermatozoa should not restricted to only very fast cooling, but a wide range of cooling rates can be acceptable before storage in liquid nitrogen (111).

Conclusion

Traditional semen parameters have become less important in the evaluation of sperm quality. Clinical evidences now point to sperm DNA damage as a detrimental factor to reproductive outcomes and spermatozoa of infertile men have more DNA damage than do spermatozoa of fertile men. Testing sperm DNA integrity may help in selection of spermatozoa with the minimal damage for use in assisted conception. ART procedures bypass the natural selection process, subsequently increases the chance of sperm with abnormal genomic material fertilizing an oocyte. The impact of sperm DNA damage on fertilization rates remains controversial, but there is a kind of agreement about its negative effects on embryo development and pregnancy rates. Additional studies are needed to fully clarify the clinical value of testing of sperm DNA damage and its impact on reproduction.

References

- Agarwal A, Said T. Role of sperm chromatin abnormalities and DNA damage in male infertility. Human Reprod Update 2003; 9:331-345.
- Sakkas D, Mariethoz E, Manicardi G, Bizari D, Bianchi P, Bianchi U. Origin of DNA damage in ejaculated human spermatozoa. Reviews of Reproduction 1999; 4:31-37.
- Alvarez J G. DNA fragmentation in human spermatozoa: significance in the diagnosis and treatment of infertility. Minerva Ginecol 2003; 55:233-239.
- Potts RJ, Newbury CJ, Smith G, Notarianni LJ, Jefferies TM. Sperm chromatin damage associated with male smoking. Mutat Res 1999; 423:103-111.
- Saleh R, Agarwal A, Sharma R, Nelson D, Tomas AJ. Effect of cigarette smoking on levels of seminal oxidative stress in infertile men: a prospective study. Fertil Steril 2002; 78:491-499.
- Sun JG, Jurusucova A, Casper RF. Deletion of deoxyribonucleic acid fragmentation in human sperm: correlation with fertilization in vitro. Biol Reprod 1997; 56: 602-607.
- Aitken R, Buckingham D, Brindle J, Gomez E, Baker G, Irvine S. Analysis of sperm movement in relation to the oxidative stress created by leucocytes in washed sperm preparations and seminal plasma. Hum Reprod1995; 10: 2061-2071.
- Fraga CG, Motchnik PA, Wyrobek AJ, Rempel DM, Ames BN. Smoking and low antioxidant levels increase oxidative damage to sperm DNA. Mutat Res1996; 351: 199-209
- 9. Tomilson, MJ, White A, Barratt CL, Bolton AE, Cooke ID. The removal of morphologically abnormal sperm forms by phagocytes: a positive role for seminal leucocytes. Hum Reprod 1992; 7:517-522.
- 10. Sigman M, Lopes L. Correlation between round cells and white cells in the semen. J Urol 1993; 388: 573-574.
- Alvarez JG, Sharma RK, Ollero M, Saleh R, Lopez M, Thomas AJ, Evnson, DP, Agarwal A. Increased DNA damage in sperm from leukocytospermic semen samples

- as determined by the sperm chromatin structure assay. Fertil Steril 2002; 78: 319-329.
- Siciliano L, Tarantino P, Longobardi F, Rago V, De Stefano C, Caprino A. Impaired seminal antioxidant capacity in the human semen with hyperviscosity or oligoasthenozoospermia. J Androl 2001; 22:798-803.
- Holmes RP, Goodman HO, Shihabi Z, Jarow JP. The taurine and hypotaurine content of human semen. J Androl 1992; 13: 289-292.
- 14. Potts RJ, Jefferies TM, Notarianni LJ. Atioxidant capacity of the epidedymis. Hum Reprod 1999; 14:2513-2516.
- Donnelly ET, Steele EK, Mcclure N, Lewis SE. Assessment of DNA integrity and morphology of ejaculated spermatozoa from fertile and infertile men before and after cryopreservation. Hum Reprod 2001; 16: 1191-1199.
- Sailer BL, Sarkar LJ, Bjordahl JA. Effects of heat stress on mouse testicular cells and sperm chromatin structure. J Androl 1997; 18:294-301.
- Saleh RA, Agarwal A, Sharma RK. Evaluation of nuclear DNA damage in spermatozoa from infertile men with varicocele. Fertil Steril 2003; 80:1431-1436.
- Fischer MA, Willis J, Zini A. Human sperm DNA integrity: correlation with sperm cytoplasmic droplets. Urology 2003; 61:207-211.
- Zini A, Blumenfeld A, Libman J. Beneficial effect of microsurgical varicocelectomy on human sperm DNA integrity. Hum Reprod 2005; 20:1018-1021.
- Chatterjee R, Haines GA, Perera DM, Goldstone A, Morris ID. Testicular and sperm DNA damage after treatment with fludarabine for chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Hum Reprod 2000; 15:762-766.
- Bucci LR, Meistrich ML. Effects of busulfan on murine spermatogenesis: cytotoxicity, sterility, sperm abnormalities, and dominant lethal mutations. Mutat Res 1987; 176:259-268.
- 22. Armon J, Meirow D, Lewis-Roness H, Ornoy A. Genetic and teratogenic effects of cancer treatments on gametes and embryos. Hum Reprod Update 2001; 7:394-403.
- 23. Erenpreiss J, Spano M, Erenpreisa J. Sperm chromatin structure and male infertility: biological and clinical aspects. Asian Journal of Andrology 2006; 8:11-29.
- Agarwal A, Said T. Oxidative stress, DNA damage and apoptosis in male infertility: a clinical approach. BJU International 2005; 95:503-507.
- 25. Aitken RJ, Krausz C. Oxidative stress, DNA damage and the Y chromosome. Reproduction 2001; 122: 497-506.
- Fuentes-Mascorro G, Serrano H, Rosado A. Sperm chromatin. Arch Androl 2000; 45: 215-225.
- 27. Pienta KJ, Coffey DS. Structural analysis of the role of the nuclear matrix and DNA loops in the organization of the nucleus and chromosome. J Cell Suppl 1984; 1: 123-135.
- 28. Finch, JT, Klug A. Solenoid model superstructure in chromatin. Proc Natl Aca Sci USA 1976; 73: 1897-1901.
- Ward WS, Coffey DS. DNA packaging and organization in mammalian spermatozoa: Comparision with somatic cells. Biol Reprod, 19991; 44: 569-574.
- Loir M, Lanneau M. Structural function of the basic nuclear proteins in rat spermatids. J Ultrastruct Res 1984; 86:262-272.
- Corzett M, Mazrimas J, Balhorn R. Protamine 1: protamine 2 stoichiometry in the sperm of eutherian mammals. Mol Reprod Dev 2002; 61:519-527.
- 32. Ward WS, Coffey DS. Specific organization of genes in relation to the sperm nuclear matrix. Biochem Biophy Res Commun 1990; 173:20-25.

- 33. Carrell DT, Liu L. Altered protamine 2 expression is uncommon in donors of known fertility, but common among men with poor fertilizing capacity, and may reflect other abnormalities of spermiogenesis. Journal of Andrology 2001; 22:604-610.
- Aoki VW, Liu L, Coarrell DT. Identification and evaluation of a novel sperm protamine abnormality in a population of infertile males. Hum Reprod 2005; 20:1298-1306.
- Schultz RM, Williams CJ. The science of ART. Science 2002; 296: 2188-2190.
- Danan C, Sternberg D, Van Steirteghem A, Cazeneuve C, Duquesnoy P, Besmond C. Evaluation of parental mitochondrial inheritance in neonates born after intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Am J Hum Genet1999; 65:463-473.
- Donnelly ET, O'Connell M, McClure N, Lewis S. Differences in nuclear DNA fragmentation and mitochondrial integrity of semen and prepared human spermatozoa. HumReprod 2000;15:1552-1561.
- May-Panloup P, Chretien MF, Savagner F, Vasseur C, Jean M, Malthiery Y. Increased sperm mitochondrial DNA content in male infertility. Hum Reprod 2003; 18: 550-556.
- Tomsu M, Sharma V, Miller D. Embryo quality and IVF treatment outcomes may correlate with different sperm comet assay parameters. Hum Reprod 2002; 17:1856-1862
- Evenson DP, Larson KL, Jost LK. Sperm chromatin structure assay: its clinical use for detecting sperm DNA fragmentation in male infertility and comparisons with other techniques. J Aandrol 2002; 23:25-43.
- 41. Bungum M, Humaidan P, Spano M, Jepson k, Bungum I, Giwercman A. The predictive value of sperm chromatin structure assay (SCSA) parameters for the outcome of intrauterine insemination, IVF and ICSI. Hum Reprod 2004; 19:1401-1408.
- 42. Nagata S. Apoptosis by death factor. Cell 1997; 88:355-365.
- Vaux, DL, Korsmeyer SJ. Cell death in development. Cell 1999; 96; 245-254.
- 44. Sinha Hikim AP, Swerdloff RS. Hormonal and genetic control of germ cell apoptosis in the testis. Rev Reprod 1999; 4: 38-47.
- 45. Kaufmann SH, Hengartner MO. Programmed cell death: alive and well in the new millennium. Trends in Cellular Biology 2001; 11: 526-534.
- 46. Høst E, Lindenberg S, Smidt-Jensen S. The role of DNA strand breaks in human spermatozoa used for IVF and ICSI. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica 2000; 79: 559-563.
- 47. Nagata S. Apoptotic DNA fragmentation. Experimental Cell Research 2000; 256: 12-18.
- Suda, T, Takahashi T, Goldstein P, Nagata S. Molecular cloning and expression of Fas ligand, a novel member of tumor necrosis factor family. Cell 1993; 75:1169-1178.
- 49. Huszar G, Sbracia M, Vigue L,Miller DJ, Shur BD. Sperm plasma membrane remodelling during spermiogenic maturation in men: relationship among plasma membrane beat 1,4 galactosyltransferase, cytoplasmic creatine phophokinase and creatine phosphokinase isoform ratios. Bio Reprod1997; 56: 1020-1024.
- 50. Francavilla S, D'abrizio P, Rucci N, Silvano G, Properzi G, Starface E, et al. Fas and Fas ligand expression in fetal and adult human testis with normal or deranged spermatogenesis. J Clin Endocrinal Metab 2000; 85: 2692-2700.

- 51. Sakkas D, Moffatt O, Manicardi GC, Mariethoz E, Tarozzi N, Bizzaro D. Nature of DNA damage in ejaculated human spermatozoa and the possible involved of apoptosis. Bi Reprod 2002; 66: 1061-1067.
- Paasch U, Grunewald S, Agarwal A, Glandera HJ. Activation pattern of caspases in human spermatozoa. Fertil Steril 2004; 81 (Suppl1):802-809.
- 53. Kim JM, Ghosh SR, Weil A, Zirkin BR. Caspase-3 and caspase-activated deoxyribonuclease are associated with testicular germ cell apoptosis resulting from reduced intratesticular testosterone. Endocrinilogy 2001; 142: 3809-3816.
- 54. Barkett M, Gilmore T D. Control of apoptosis by Rel/NF-kB transcription factors. Oncogene 1999; 18: 6910-6924.
- 55. Barroso G, Morshedi M, Oehninger S. Analysis of DNA fragmentation, plasma membrane translocation of phosphatidylserine and oxidative stress in human spermatozoa. Human Reproduction 2000; 15: 1338-1344.
- MacLeod J. The role of oxygen in the metabolism and motility of human spermatozoa. Am J Physiol 1943; 138:512-518.
- 57. Aitken R J. The human spermatozoon a cell in crisis? The Amoroso Lecture, J Reprod Fertil 1999; 115:1–7.
- Saleh R, Agarwal A. Oxidative stress and male infertility: from research bench to clinical practice. J Androl 2002; 23: 737-752.
- 59. Pardon OF, Brackett NL, Sharma RK, Kohn S, Lynn CM, Thomas A J, Jrand argawal A. Seminal reactive oxygen species, sperm motility and morphology in men with spinal cord injury. Fertil Ssteril 1997; 67: 115-1120.
- Duru NK, Morshedi M, Schuffner A, Oehninger S. Semen treatment with progesterone and/or acetyl-L-carnitine does not improve sperm motility or membrane damage after cryopreservation-thawing. Fertil Steril 2000; 74:715-720.
- Sikka SC. Relative impact of oxidative stress on male reproductive function. Curr Med Chem. 2001; 8:851-862.
- 62. Aitken RJ, Buckingham D, West K. Differential Contribution of leucocytes and spermatozoa to the generation of reactive oxygen species in the ejaculates of oligozoospermic patients and fertile donors. Journal of Reproductive Fertility 1992; 94: 451–62.
- 63. Zini A, de Lamirande E, Gagnon C. Reactive oxygen species in semen of infertile patients: levels of superoxide dismutase- and catalase-like activities in seminal plasma and spermatozoa. International Journal of Andrology 1993; 16:183-188.
- 64. Plante M, de Lamirande E, Gagnon C. Reactive oxygen species released by activated neutrophils, but not by deficient spermatozoa are sufficeeint to affect normal sperm motility. Fertility and Sterility 1994; 62: 387-393.
- 65. McKelvey-Martin VJ, Melia N, Walsh IK, Johnston SR, Hughes CM, Lewis SE, Thompson W. Two potential clinical applications of the alkaline single-cell gel electrophoresis assay: (1) human bladder washings and transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: and (2) human sperm and male infertility. Mutat Res 1997; 375: 93-104.
- 66. Ostling O, Johanson KJ. Microelectrophoretic study of radiation-induced DNA damages in individual mammalian cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1984; 123: 291-298.
- Singh NP, McCoy MT, Tice RR, Schneider EL. A simple technique for quantification of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells. Exp Cell Res 1998; 175: 184-191

- Duty SM, Singh NP, Ryan L. Reliability of the comet assay in cryopreserved human sperm. Human Reproduction 2002; 17:1274-1280.
- 69. Morris ID, Ilott S, Dixon L, Brison DR. The spectrum of DNA damage in human sperm assessed by single cell gel electrophoresis (Comet assay) and its relationshipto fertilization and embryo development. Human Reproduction 2002; 17:990-998.
- Abu-Hassan D, Koester F, Schoepper B, Schultze-mosgau, Asimakopoulos B, Diedrich K, Al-hassani S. COMET assay of cumulus cells and spermatozoa DNA status, and the relationship to oocyte fertilization and embryo quality following ICSI. Reproductive BioMedicine Online 2005; 12:447-442.
- 71. Gorczyca W, Traganos F, Jesionowska H, Darzynkievicz Z. Presence of DNA strand breaks and increased sensitivity of DNA in situ to degeneration in abnormal human sperm cells: analogy to apoptosis of somatic cells. Experimental Cell Research 1993; 207:202-205.
- Muratori M, Piomboni P, Baldi E. Functional and ultrastructural features of DNA-fragmented sperm. Journal of Andrology 2000; 21:903-912.
- Lopes S, Sun JG, Jurisicova A, Meriano J, Casper RF. Sperm deoxyribonucleic acid fragmentation is increased in poor quality semen samples and correlates with failed fertilization in intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Fertility and Sterility1998; 69:529-532.
- 74. Benchaib M, Braun V, Lornage J, Hadj S, Salle B, Lejeune H, Guerin JF. Sperm DNA fragmentation decreases the pregnancy rate in an assisted reproductive technique. Human Reproduction 2003; 18:1023-1028.
- 75. Sharma RK, Said T, Agarwal A. Sperm DNA damage and its clinical relevance in assessing reproductive outcome. Asian Journal of Andrology 2004; 6:139-148.
- Drazynkiewicz Z, Traganos F, Sharpless T, Melamed MR. Thermal denaturation of DNA in situ as studied by acridine orange staining and automated cytofluorometry. Exp Cell Res 1975; 90: 411-428.
- 77. Evenson DP, Jost LK, Marshall D, Zinaman MJ, Clegg E, Purvis K, et al. Utility of the sperm chromatin structure assay as a diagnostic and prognostic tool in the human fertility clinic. Hum Reprod 1999; 14: 1039 -1049.
- Spano M, Bonde JP, Hijollund HI, Kolstad HA, Cordelli E, Leter G. Sperm chromatin damage impairs human fertility. Fertility and Sterility 2000; 73:43-50.
- Duran EH, Gurgan T, Gunalp S, Einginsu ME, YaraliH, Ayhan A. A logistic regression model including DNA status and morphology of spermatozoa for prediction of fertilization in vitro. Hum Reprod 1998; 13; 1235-1239.
- Fernandez JL, Mouriel L, Rivero MT, Goyanes V, Vasquez R, Alvarez JL. The sperm chromatin dispersion test: a simple method for determination of sperm DNA fragmentation. J Androl 2003; 24: 59-66.
- 81. Floyd RA, Watson JJ, Harris J, West M, Wong PK. Formation of 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine, hydroxyl free radical adduct of DNA in granulocytes exposed to the tumor promoter. Biochem Biophys Res Commun1986; 137:841-846.
- 82. Carrell DT, Wilcox AL, Lowy L, Peterson CM, Jones KP, Erickson L, et al. Elevated sperm chromosome aneuploidy and apoptosis in patients with unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2003; 101:1229-1235.
- 83. Larson Cook K, Brannian J, Hansen K, Kasperson K, Aamold E, Evenson D. Relationship between the outcomes of assisted reproductive techniques and sperm

- DNA fragmentation as measured by the sperm chromatin structure assay. Fertility and Sterility 2003; 80: 895-902.
- 84. Høst E, Lindenberg S, Smidt-Jensen S. The role of DNA strand breaks in human spermatozoa used for IVF and ICSI. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica 2000; 79: 559-563.
- 85. Høst E, Lindenberg S, Kahn JA, Christensen F. DNA strand breaks in human sperm cells: A comparison between men with normal and oligozoospermic sperm samples. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica 1999; 78: 336-339.
- 86. Huang CC, Lin DP, Tsao HM, Cheng TC, Liu CH, Lee MS. Sperm DNA fragmentation negatively correlates with velocity and fertilization rates, but might not affect pregnancy rates. Fertility and Sterility 2005; 84: 130-140.
- 87. Muriel L, Garrido N, Fernandez J L, Alvarez J, Remohi J, Pellicer A, Garrido N. Value of the sperm deoxyribonucleic acid fragmentation level, as measured by the sperm chromatin dispersion test, in the outcome of in vitro fertilization and intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Fertility and Sterility 2006; 85:371-383.
- 88. Payne JF, Raburn DJ, Couchman GM, Price TM, Jamison MG, Walmer DK. Redefining the relationship between sperm deoxyribonucleic acid fragmentation as measured by the sperm chromatin structure assay and outcomes of assisted reproductive techniques. Fertility and Sterility 2005; 84:356-364.
- 89. Larson KL, DeJonge CJ, Barnes AM, Jost LK, Evenson DP. Sperm chromatin structure assay parameters as predictors of failed pregnancy following assisted reproductive techniques. Human Reproduction 2000; 15: 1717-1722.
- Borini A, Tarozzi N, Bizzaro D, Bonu MA, Fava L, Flamigni C, Coticchio G. Sperm DNA fragmentation: paternal effect on early post-implantation embryo development in ART. Human Reproduction 2006; 21:2876-2881.
- 91. Gandini L, Lombardo F, Paoli D, Caruso F, Eleuteri P, Leter G, et al. Full term pregnancies achieved with ICSI despite high levels of sperm chromatin damage. Human reproduction 2004; 19:1409-1417.
- Benchaib M, Lornage J, Mazoyer C,Lejeune H, Salle B, Francois Guerin J. Sperm deoxyribonucleic acid fragmentation as a prognostic indicator of assisted reproductive technology outcome. Fertility and Sterility 2006; 87:93-100.
- 93. Greco E, Scarselli F, Iacobelli M, Rienzi L, Ubaldi F, Ferrero S, et al. Efficient treatment of infertility due to sperm DNA damage by ICSI with testicular spermatozoa. Human Reproduction 2005; 20:2032-2033.
- 94. Li Z, Wang L, Cai J, Huang H. Correlation of sperm DNA damage with IVF and ICSI outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Assist Reprod Genet 2006; 23:367-376.
- 95. Tomlinson MJ, Moffatt O, Manicardi GC, Bizzaro D, Afnan M, Sakkas D. Interrelationships between seminal parameters and sperm nuclear DNA damage before and after density gradient centrifugation: implications for assisted conception. Human Reproduction 2001; 16: 2160-2165.
- Benchaib M, Braun V, Lornage J, Hadj S, Salle B, Lejeune H, Guerin JF. Sperm DNA fragmentation decreases the pregnancy rate in an assisted reproductive technique. Human Reproduction 2003; 18(5): 1023-1028.
- 97. Nasr-Esfahani MH, Salehi M, Razavi S, Anjomshoa M, Rozbahani S, Moulavi F, Mardani M. Effect of sperm DNA damage and sperm protamine deficiency on

- fertilization and embryo development post-ICSI. Reproductive Biomedicine Online 2005; 11:198-205.
- Bungum M, Humaidan P, Axmon A, Spano M, Bungum L, Erenpreiss J, Giwercman A. Sperm DNA integrity assessment in prediction of assisted reproduction technology outcome. Human Reproduction 2007; 22: 174-179
- Cox GF, Burger J, Lip V, Mau UA, Sperling K, Wu BL, Horsthemke B. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection may increase the risk of imprinting defects. American Journal of Human Genetics 2002; 71:162-164.
- 100. Bonduelle M, Legein J, Buysse A, Van Assche E, Wisanto A, Devroey P, et al. Prospective follow up study of 423 children born after intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Human Reproduction 1996; 11:1558-1564.
- 101. Van Steirteghem A. Outcome of assisted reproductive technology. N Engl J Med 1998; 338:194-195.
- 102. Kurinczuk JJ, Bower C. Birth defects in infants conceived by intracytoplasmic sperm injection: an alternative interpretation. British Medical Journal 1997; 315:1260-1265
- 103. Hansen M, Kurinczuk JJ, Bower C, Webb S. The risk of major birth defects after intracytoplasmic sperm injection and in vitro fertilization. N Engl J Med 2002:7; 346:725-730
- 104. Yoshida H. Fertilizability of fresh and frozen human spermatozoa. Nippon Sanka Fujinka Gakkai Zasshi 1991; 43:1233-1240.
- 105. Watson PF. Recent developments and concepts in

- cryopreservation of spermatozoa and the assessment of their post-thaw function. Reprod Fertil Dev1995; 7: 871–891
- 106. Brotherton J. Cryopreservation of human semen. Arch Androl 1990; 25:181–195.
- 107. Royere D, Hamamah S, Nicolle JC, Lansac J. Chromatin alterations induced by freeze-thawing influence the fertilizing ability of human sperm. Int J Androl1991;14: 328–332.
- 108. Duru NK, Morshedi MS, Schuffner A, Oehninger S. Cryopreservation-Thawing of fractionated human spermatozoa is associated with membrane phosphatidylserine externalization and not DNA fragmentation. Journal of Andrology 2001; 22:646-51.
- 109. de Paula TS, Bertolla RP, Spaine DM, Cunha MA, Schor N, Cedenho AP. Effect of cryopreservation on sperm apoptotic deoxyribonucleic acid fragmentation in patients with oligozoospermia. Fertility and Sterility 2006; 86:597-600.
- 110. Isachenko E, Isachenko V, Katkov II, Rahimi G, Schondorf T, Mallmann P, et al. DNA integrity and motility of human spermatozoa after standard slow freezing versus cryoprotectant-free vitrification. Human Reproduction2004; 19:932-939.
- 111. Isachenko V, Isachenko E, Katkov II, Montag M, Dessole S, Nawroth F, et al. Cryoprotectant-free cryopreservation of human spermatozoa by vitrification and freezing in vapor: effect on motility, DNA integrity, and fertilization ability. Biol Reprod 2004; 71:1167-1173.